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**Responding to this paper**

ESMA invites comments on all matters in this consultation paper and in particular on the specific questions. Comments are most helpful if they:

* respond to the question stated;
* indicate the specific question to which the comment relates;
* contain a clear rationale; and
* describe any alternatives ESMA should consider.

ESMA will consider all comments received by **2 September 2021.**

**Instructions**

In order to facilitate analysis of responses to the Consultation Paper, respondents are requested to follow the below steps when preparing and submitting their response:

1. Insert your responses to the questions in the Consultation Paper in the present response form.
2. Use this form and send your responses in Word format (**pdf documents will not be considered except for annexes**);
3. Please do not remove tags of the type <ESMA\_QUESTION \_RFRS\_1>. Your response to each question has to be framed by the two tags corresponding to the question.
4. If you do not wish to respond to a given question, please do not delete it but simply leave the text “TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE” between the tags.
5. When you have drafted your response, name your response form according to the following convention: ESMA\_RFRS\_nameofrespondent\_RESPONSEFORM. For example, for a respondent named ABCD, the response form would be entitled ESMA\_RFRS\_ABCD\_RESPONSEFORM.
6. Upload the form containing your responses, **in Word format**, to ESMA’s website (www.esma.europa.eu under the heading “Your input – Open Consultations” -> Consultation Paper on the clearing and derivative trading obligations in view of the benchmark transition”).

**Publication of responses**

All contributions received will be published following the close of the consultation, unless you request otherwise. Please clearly and prominently indicate in your submission any part you do not wish to be publically disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA’s rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by ESMA’s Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

**Data protection**

Information on data protection can be found at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading [Legal Notice](http://www.esma.europa.eu/legal-notice).

**Who should read this paper**

All interested stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation paper. In particular, responses are sought from counterparties of OTC derivatives transactions which are subject to the clearing obligation or to the derivative trading obligation as well as from CCPs and Trading Venues.

#

**General information about respondent**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of the company / organisation | CCP12 - The Global Association of Central Counterparties |
| Activity | Central Counterparty |
| Are you representing an association? |[x]
| Country/Region | Other |

**Questions**

**General comments**

1. **: Are there any general comments you would need to raise?**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_1>

The Global Association of Central Counterparties (“CCP12”) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the European Securities Markets Authority’s (“ESMA”) Consultation Paper on the clearing and derivative trading obligations in view of the benchmark transition (“the Consultation Paper”).[[1]](#footnote-2)

CCP12 is the global association for CCPs, representing 38 members who operate more than 60 individual central counterparties (CCPs) globally across the Americas, EMEA and the Asia-Pacific region.

CCP12 generally supports ESMA’s proposals to adapt the clearing mandates to reflect the transition to the new Risk-Free Rates preparing for the cessation of the GBP and JPY LIBORs and EONIA.

CCP12 would like to emphasize in this context the importance of clearing and is supportive of a smooth transition, which is aligned between global standard setting bodies.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_1>

**State of progress with the transition**

1. **: Are there any other aspects of the transition that need to be taken into account? Please share any data that would help qualify further the progress with the transition or any other aspects that you think should be considered.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_2>

CCP12 acknowledges that at the time ESMA was preparing this Consultation Paper, the future direction of JPY interest rate markets was not sufficiently clear, because the shift of JPY IRS liquidity to the RFR swap, TONA (Tokyo Overnight Average Rate) OIS, was not clearly evident. This uncertainty was stated in section 3.2.2.4 JPY, paragraph 39 of the Consultation Paper as “(t)he transition to RFR for JPY is the least advanced compared to the other major currencies discussed in the CP. JPY LIBOR is due to cease at the end of the year, but it remains uncertain which index will be used instead…”

However, recently, market liquidity has been migrating away from JPY LIBOR, to the TONA RFR. The reasons for this migration are detailed below.

1. Conversion of JPY IRS by CCPs from Libor to TONA

As highlighted by ESMA in the Consultation Paper, all of the major CCPs clearing JPY LIBOR IRS plan to fully transition JPY LIBOR IRS cleared at each of their CCPs to JPY TONA OIS on the weekend of December 04, 2021. On that weekend, existing cleared JPY LIBOR IRS will undergo a conversion to JPY TONA IRS. It is expected that this migration will concentrate market trading and liquidity on TONA OIS as the replacement for JPY LIBOR.

1. General Support for Conversion to TONA on IRS with “TONA FIRST”

Secondly, the report published by the Cross-Industry Committee on Japanese Yen Interest Rate Benchmarks, an industry forum organized by the Bank of Japan with a view to providing market views on the transition of interest rate benchmark for JPY, stated that a large majority of respondents supported TONA as a desirable alternative benchmark to JPY LIBOR, with a view to maintaining consistency with global discussions[[2]](#footnote-3).

On July 26, 2021, the Sub-Group for the Development of Term Reference Rates reached a consensus on "TONA First”[[3]](#footnote-4), an initiative to recommend liquidity providers to change their quoting conventions from JPY LIBOR to TONA in the JPY IRS market, after the market close on July 30, 2021.

1. Accelerating Shift of Cleared Volume from Libor IRS to OIS

Thirdly, now that most of the CCPs clearing JPY IRS have finalized, or almost finalized, drafting of their conversion plans, the market is beginning to organically migrate away from JPY LIBOR. It is becoming clear that the market is favoring TONA OIS as the replacement for JPY LIBOR[[4]](#footnote-5).

1. More than one CCP is available for clearing TONA OIS

Clearing of TONA OIS is available in JSCC, Eurex, LCH, and CME. Thus, there would be little, if any, concern on the availability of clearing service for those entities subject to a clearing mandate for JPY TONA OIS.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_2>

**General approach**

1. **: Are there any other aspects that you think that ESMA should take into account or that might justify a different approach?**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_3>

CCP12 appreciates that ESMA is coordinating the transition to the new rates with regulators in other jurisdictions to the extent possible. However, we would like to emphasize the importance of international cooperation and coordination to ensure a harmonized transition. Please also refer to our answer to Question 6.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_3>

**Clearing obligation**

1. **: Do you agree with the assessment of the EMIR criteria and with the proposed classes (except for USD which is dealt with in a dedicated Question 5)? If not please detail how the assessment could differ and please also provide data and information to justify a different assessment.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_4>

CCP12 agrees with the majority of the assessment of the EMIR criteria stated in paragraph 85 and with the proposed classes. However, as detailed in our response to Question 2, the data for the assessment on JPY OIS, including those listed in “3.2.2.4 JPY” of the Consultation Paper, does not reflect the current situation. Therefore, CCP12 recommends that a JPY RFR swap, JPY TONA OIS, should be included in the modified IRS clearing obligation, as a replacement for JPY LIBOR swap.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_4>

1. **: Will the transition regarding USD have made sufficient progress by this Autumn to decide on whether to maintain or remove USD LIBOR classes. Will there be sufficient liquidity to introduce SOFR OIS to the CO and for which maturity range? Please provide the relevant data and information to explain your assessment, in accordance with the EMIR framework.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_5>

We encourage ESMA to closely monitor the developments in the US and await any action from the primary regulator before adapting the EU clearing mandate in relation to the USD LIBOR and SOFR.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_5>

1. **: Do you agree with the proposed implementation of the changes? If not please provide details that could justify a different implementation.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_6>

CCP12 agrees with ESMA’s proposed approach to modify the annex of the current Commission Delegated Regulation, instead of a new RTS that could lead to a brand-new Commission Delegated Regulation.

However, in terms of timing, CCP12 believes the modifications could reasonably come into force on dates that coincide with the contractual conversion of contracts referencing soon-to-be discontinued LIBOR benchmarks by a number of CCPs. To be specific, the timing of entry into force could be set on Monday October 18, 2021 for the removal of the contract types referencing EONIA, Monday December 06, 2021 for the removal of the contract types referencing JPY Libor, and it should be Monday December 20, 2021 for the removal of the contract types referencing GBP Libor. As noted in the Consultation Paper, it is expected that liquidity of EONIA (EUR), GBP LIBOR, and JPY LIBOR “would sharply decrease” after the CCP transition has been completed.

Furthermore, as explained in our responses to previous questions, CCP12 would recommend the removal should be implemented in conjunction with the inclusion of RFR swaps, including JPY TONA OIS, in the scope of the clearing mandate.

It would be desirable for regulators to harmonize the timing globally, as this would significantly reduce further complexity for the industry, which is already working hard to ensure a smooth transition.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_6>

**Derivatives trading obligation**

1. **: Do you agree with the proposal to not include OIS referencing €STR, SONIA nor SOFR to the DTO at this point in time? In case you disagree with ESMA’s proposal, please justify and support your assessment with qualitative and quantitative data.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_7>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_7>

1. **: Do you consider that IRS referencing USD LIBOR should continue to be subject to the DTO?**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_8>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_8>

**Cost Benefit Analysis**

1. **: Are there other elements that should be taken into account and that would impact the outcome of the cost-benefit analysis? Please provide quantitative and qualitative details.**

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_9>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RFRS\_9>
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