Contribution ID: fa9d182e-4b92-4e04-be90-d76cef890bb5

Date: 25/07/2019 12:29:22



Survey on collection of evidence on undue short-term pressure from the financial sector on corporations

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Table of contents

- Introduction
- Structure of the questionnaire
- Instructions on how to respond
- Publication of responses
- Data protection
- Definitions, abbreviations, and legal references

Introduction

Under Action 10 of the Action Plan 'Financing Sustainable Growth' [1], the European Commission has invited [2] the three European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) to each develop a report presenting evidence and possible advice on potential undue short-termism. Short-termism can be defined as "the focus on short time horizons by both corporate managers and financial markets, prioritising near-term shareholder interests over long-term growth of the firm"[3].

The Commission's mandate indicates that decisions taken by corporations do not fully reflect long-term aspects that would be required to put the EU economy on a sustainable path and manage the transition towards a low carbon economy. In particular, as a result of short-term market pressures, some companies may under-invest in long-term value drivers such as innovation and human capital and overlook environmental and social objectives that require a long-term orientation. Consequently, sustainability faces obstacles to develop in a context where incentives, market pressures and prevailing company culture prompt market participants to focus on near-term performance at the expense of mid- to long-term objectives.

Following an initial analysis based on desk research and preliminary quantitative evidence, ESMA has identified six areas which it considers relevant to examine in relation to the Commission's mandate.

These areas are:

- Investment strategy and investment horizon;
- Disclosure of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors and the contribution of such disclosure to long-term investment strategies;
- The role of fair value in better investment decision-making;
- Institutional investors' engagement;
- Remuneration of fund managers and corporate executives;
- and Use of CDS by investment funds

ESMA is not claiming there is a causal relationship between the abovementioned areas and short-termism; it is rather seeking the views of stakeholders on these areas in order to better understand their interaction with short-termism. As such, responses to this survey will contribute to ESMA's analysis of potential sources of undue short-termism on corporations stemming from the financial sector in the areas of focus. Additionally, responses to the survey will back the identification of any other areas in which short-term behaviour is problematic and where the regulatory rules exasperate (or mitigate) short-term pressures.

Overall, with this survey ESMA is seeking to collect information on market practices and the views of financial market participants. By responding to the questionnaire, market participants will contribute to ESMA's advice to the Commission and as such help shape future policy decisions in relation to short-termism in the financial sector.

- [1] European Commission Action Plan Financing Sustainable Growth.
- [2] Call for advice to the European Supervisory Authorities to collect evidence of undue short-term pressure from the financial sector on corporations.
- [3] Definition of short-termism provided in the second paragraph of section 1 of the Commission's mandate (Mason, 2015).

Structure of the questionnaire

Section I: General information about respondent

The first section of the questionnaire contains questions which will help ESMA understand respondents' profile and whether they agree for their response to the questionnaire to be published on ESMA's website.

All respondents are invited to respond to the questions in this section.

Section II: Investment strategy and investment horizon

In this section of the questionnaire, ESMA invites respondents to provide information on the key features and the focus of their investment strategy as well as on the time horizon(s) they use in their business activities. The questions aim to collect comprehensive information on the strategic approach taken by various market players, depending on their role and objectives, in order to get a broad understanding of how they prioritise short- and long-term values in their investment activities. The responses to the questions in this section are intended to provide evidence on how consistent the long-term value drivers of the investment strategy are with the investment timeframe and the global approach for investment decision-

making, and which specific considerations in investment strategies may induce short-termism.

The section is open to all respondents as it seeks information on the interaction between short-termism and general business activities. The questions relating to portfolio holdings are addressed to asset owners and asset managers.

Section III: Disclosure on ESG factors and the contribution of such disclosure to long-term investment strategies

The context for the questions in this section is the EU's 2014 adoption of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive (hereafter 'NFRD') in order to enhance the consistency and comparability of non-financial information disclosed throughout the Union. The NFRD requires large EU companies to disclose information on matters relating to the environment, social and employee aspects, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and bribery issues in an annual non-financial statement to be presented either in the management report or in a separate document.[1]

The NFRD came into force in 2014 for reporting on the financial year starting on 1 January 2017 or during the calendar year 2017, which means that two waves of mandatory non-financial information have now been published in most jurisdictions. Section III of the questionnaire collects information on the experience of market participants with these first two disclosure waves by asking whether, how and to what extent public disclosure on ESG factors, which complements traditional financial disclosure by listed companies, can enable investors to integrate in their decision-making process considerations on a company's current and future ability to create long-term sustainable value for its shareholders and for the society at large. Furthermore, this section raises the question whether any changes relating to requirements on non-financial information are needed at European level to enable investors to take long-term investment decisions.

The questions in this section are primarily addressed to institutional and retail investors that make use of information in issuers' public reporting in their investment decisions, as well as to issuers that provide such ESG related information to investors.

[1] Additionally, the forthcoming Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector (2018/0179(COD)) will require financial advisers to publish information on their policies on the integration of sustainability risks in their investment advice or insurance advice. However, as this Regulation has not yet entered into force and will not be applicable until 15 months after entry into force, it is not possible at this stage to assess its impact, and it is as such not covered in the questionnaire.

Section IV: The role of fair value in better investment decision-making

In this section of the questionnaire, ESMA seeks to collect further information related to the following statement from the report [1] of the High Level Expert Group (hereafter 'HLEG'): "there is considerable disagreement among interested parties on the appropriate accounting treatment for long-term investments, in particular on whether long-term assets on investors' balance sheets should be valued based on the currently prevailing (daily) market prices – also known as 'mark-to-market' valuation or 'fair value' accounting [...] The debate is mainly around equity, equity-type and listed credit instruments on the balance sheets of long-term investors, such as non-financial corporations, insurance companies and banks."

The section contains questions on whether and how fair value may impact the capacity of financial reporting to provide relevant and reliable information on equity instruments held for long-term investment purposes. Responses in this area will help ESMA to assess how the measurement and disclosure of fair value may impact the selection of a short- or long-term horizon, as well as to assess whether the transparency benefits arising from the use of fair value for financial instruments, particularly equity instruments, outweigh the intrinsic potential volatility of fair value. Furthermore, whilst Level 1 fair value measurement is based on quoted prices in active markets and, as such, it has a high degree of reliability, ESMA is also interested in exploring the usefulness of Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements [2] and the extent to which investors are willing to take these fair value measurements into consideration in their long-term investment decisions.

The European Commission has issued two requests for advice to the European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) to assess the impact of IFRS 9 Financial Instruments on equity investments and to investigate potential alternatives to fair value accounting for equity and equity-type instruments held for the long-term. ESMA closely monitors and contributes to EFRAG's work in this area [3]. In section IV of the questionnaire ESMA investigates more specifically the reasons underlying any connection between fair value accounting and the emergence of short-term pressures in the investment practice of issuers.

The questions in this section are primarily addressed to institutional and retail investors that make use of information in issuers' financial statements in their investment decisions, as well as to issuers that prepare financial statements.

- [1] https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/180131-sustainable-finance-final-report_en.pdf
- [2] Inputs to Level 2 fair value measurements are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Inputs to Level 3 fair value measurements are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.
- [3] http://www.efrag.org/News/Public-183/New-EFRAG-consultation-on-Equity-Instruments--Research-on-Measurement

Section V: Institutional investors' engagement

In this section, ESMA invites institutional investors to share their experiences and views on whether and how they monitor the long-term value maximisation of their investee companies by further engaging with them and voicing their potential concerns. The questions of this section indirectly relate to the revised Shareholder Rights Directive that established specific requirements in order to encourage shareholder engagement in EU listed companies. ESMA acknowledges that the Directive has entered into application only recently. In this section ESMA seeks to collect information on how engagement activities are put in place at the time of the publication of the questionnaire based on the current regulatory framework in the relevant Member States.

For the purposes of this questionnaire, engagement is defined as any monitoring and interaction by institutional investors with investee companies, including the exercise of voting rights and other activities to influence the investee company such as activist strategies.

The questions in this section are primarily addressed to institutional investors.

Section VI: Remuneration of fund managers and corporate executives

In this section, ESMA examines whether remuneration policy and practices of fund managers can be a driver of short-termism. Stakeholder feedback in this regard will provide further evidence in relation to the statements of the HLEG report about the "frequent separation of the behaviour of some financial intermediaries from the preferences of the ultimate beneficiaries" and that "job tenure and financial rewards for analysts, asset/money managers and traders" can be heavily dependent on short-term returns.

The questions in part A of this section are addressed to UCITS management companies, AIFMs, and self-managed UCITS investment companies and AIFs as they relate to how remuneration practices impact investment behaviour of asset managers vis-à-vis the funds they manage and the investors in such funds. The questions are particularly related to the requirements arising from the UCITS Directive [1], AIFMD [2], the Guidelines on sound remuneration practices under the UCITS Directive [3] and the Guidelines on sound remuneration practices under the AIFMD [4].

The questions in part B of this section are primarily addressed to issuers with reference to the remuneration packages assigned to their executives. Evidence on this aspect is expected to provide an indication of how executives' incentives to pursue long-term vs. short-term performance can be skewed by the way their remuneration package is designed.

In addition, each section invites all stakeholders to comment on the potential contribution to short-termism from remuneration practices for fund managers or corporate executives.

- [1] Directive 2009/65/EC
- [2] Directive 2011/61/EU
- [3] ESMA/2016/575
- [4] ESMA/2013/232

Section VII: Use of CDS by investment funds

Building on the work already conducted by ESMA [1] looking at the prevalence of sell-only or net sell Credit Default Swaps (CDS) positions held by UCITS funds, this section of the questionnaire aims to collect information on the use of CDS by all investment funds. The existing evidence shows some use of sell only or net sell holdings of CDS and ESMA would like to explore this topic further in the context of short-termism. ESMA will use the information it collects from stakeholders to assess whether the use of such instruments could be one of the potential drivers of short-termism.

Sell-only or net sell CDS positions may indicate increased short-term risk taking by funds in order to generate short-term profits, thereby diverting funds from investment in the real economy and indirectly contributing to a short-term profit taking approach. This is why ESMA would like to explore this area by gathering evidence from stakeholders, particularly regarding the reasons for sell only or net sell holdings of CDS positions, and how the tail risk of CDS is managed. ESMA recognises that there may be other categories of derivatives that may also merit attention, so one of the questions allows respondents to comment on other products as well.

The questions in this section of the questionnaire are addressed to UCITS management companies, self-managed UCITS investment companies and AIFMs.

[1] (see "Drivers of CDS usage by EU investment funds" in Trends, Risks and Vulnerabilities Report No.2 from 2018)

Section VIII: Final

The last section of the questionnaire gives respondents the chance to raise any additional considerations on the topic of undue short-term pressure on corporations from the financial sector which they have not been able to reflect elsewhere in the survey.

All respondents are invited to respond to this part of the questionnaire.

How to respond

Deadline

ESMA will consider all responses received by 29 July 2019

Technical instructions

The questionnaire is presented in EUSurvey which is the European Commission's online survey making tool.

In order to access the questionnaire, please click on the following link: https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/runner/ /ESMA-SUS-2019

When you click on the link, EUSurvey will open in your default browser and you will see the questionnaire. Before starting to fill in the questionnaire, we encourage you to read through all questions.

As you go through the questionnaire and fill in your responses, additional questions will sometimes appear. Such additional questions are based on your response to a previous question and are intended to collect further information about the response you have provided. However, unless specifically mentioned, you are invited to respond to all questions.

The full set of responses is submitted by clicking the "Submit" button at the end of the questionnaire. Upon submission, the system will offer you to print or download your responses for your own reference.

For any questions regarding the questionnaire, please send an email to short.termism@esma.europa.eu

Publication of responses

All contributions received will be published following the close of the survey, unless you request otherwise. Please clearly indicate under question [6] if you do not wish your contribution to be publicly disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA's rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by ESMA's Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

Data protection

Information on data protection can be found at www.esma.europa.eu under the heading 'Data protection'.

Definitions, abbreviations, and legal references

CDS

Credit Default Swaps

Corporate executives

Top managers, such as the Chair or the CEO, and/or members of the board of directors.

Engagement

For the purpose of this questionnaire, any monitoring and interaction by institutional investors with investee companies, including the exercise of voting rights and other activities to influence the investee company such as activist strategies

ESG

Environmental, Social and Governance

Fair value

The price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (IFRS 13)

HLEG

High Level Expert Group

Holding period

For the purpose of this questionnaire, 'holding period' is defined as the elapsed time between the initial date of purchase and the date on which the investment is sold or matured if held to maturity.

Identified Staff

Categories of staff, including senior management, risk takers, control functions and any employee receiving total remuneration that falls into the remuneration bracket of senior management and risk takers, whose professional activities have a material impact on the management company's risk profile or the risk profiles of the UCITS that it manages and categories of staff of the entity(ies) to which investment management activities have been delegated by the management company, whose professional activities have a material impact on the risk profiles of the UCITS that the management corporate manages.

Institutional investors

Asset owners or asset managers acting on their behalf

Long-term investment / value

For the purpose of this questonnaire, please consider these expressions in the context set out in the Commission's mandate on undue short-termism and in the European Commission's Action Plan 'Financing Sustainable Growth'.

Non-Financial Reporting Directive / NFRD

Directive 2014/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2014 amending Directive 2013/34/EU as regards disclosure of non-financial and diversity information by certain large undertakings and groups

Revised Shareholder Rights Directive

Directive (EU) 2017/828 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2017 amending Directive 2007/36/EC as regards the encouragement of long-term shareholder engagement

Short-termism

The focus on short time horizons by both corporate managers and financial markets, prioritising near-term shareholder interests over long-term growth of the firm

I. General information about respondent

I wish my response to be published

Please note that the questionnaire should be read in conjunction with the explanatory note, definitions and instructions. If you have not already read the explanatory note, please do so before you start filling in your responses.

	Name of the company / organisation
i	1400 character(s) maximum
	Amundi AM
* 2.	Type of respondent
	UCITS management company
* 3.	Industry
	Financials
* 4.	Are you representing an association?
	O Yes
	No
	Country
* 5.	

7. This questionnaire considers long-term investment in the framework of sustainable finance, under the
assumption that long-term investment projects should be consistent with the objective of supporting the
shift towards a more sustainable financial and economic system. In this context, for the purpose of filling in
this questionnaire, what timeframe would you consider when defining long-term investment?
O 3-5 years
6-10 years

11-30 years+30 yearsOther

1400 character(s) maximum

long term goes beyond one economical cycle: thus, more than 10 years. Long term is not only an issue for the next generation: accordingly less than 30 years.

II. Investment strategy and investment horizon

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

8. Which time horizon do you apply in your general business activities?

Please tick one time horizon per category

	Less than 1 year	1-4 years	5-8 years	9-12 years	More than 12 years	Not applicable
Overall	0	•	0	0	0	0
- Business strategy	0	0	0	0	0	•
- Profitability	0	0	0	0	0	•
- Funding	0	0	0	0	0	•
- Investment	0	0	0	0	0	•
- Trading	0	0	0	0	0	•
- Other	0	0	0	0	0	•

9. In your experience, to which extent do the following nodes in the investment value chain contribute to the tendency towards short-termism?

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
Retail investors	•	0	0	0	0

Asset owners (i.e. giving the investment mandate either on their own account or on the account of retail investors)	0	0	•	0	0
Asset managers (i.e. those in charge of fulfilling the mandate of asset owners)	0	•	0	0	0
Top management of listed issuers	0	0	•	0	0
Sell-side analysts	0	0	0	•	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0

2800 character(s) maximum

It is a chicken and egg question: top management of listed companies claim to be under short term pressure by financial markets and investors claim they are ready to take long term views. But investors effectively monitor yearly (and shorter term) performance and asset managers feel the pressure for annual or quarterly result. Some say it is a 3 year industry: year 1 win the mandate, year 2 business as usual, year 3 pressure to outperform and risk to see the mandate be awarded to another AM. On the other hand, sell side actors make their business on turn over and favour short term, with few exceptions.

10. To which extent does each of the following factors result in short-termism by your institution?

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
Macroeconomic environment	0	•	0	0	0
Prudential regulation	0	0	•	0	0
Market pressures	0	0	0	•	0
Profitability	0	0	0	•	0
Shareholders' interest	0	0	0	0	0
Business objectives	0	0	•	0	0
Competitive pressure	0	0	0	0	0
Client demand	0	0	0	0	0
Company reporting requirements	0	•	0	0	0
Executive remuneration structure	0	•	0	0	•
Other	0	0	0	0	0

2800 character(s) maximum

All factors listed have or may have an influence on short termism.

Competitive pressure and market pressure (understood as financial market expectations), are probably the most relevant factors. Communication on long term objectives and achievements is not the main price mover on the market. Buzz develops on short term issues. Issuers have to participate to this short term communication in order to exist and that creates a bias towards short termism as a result of a careful media planning.

11. What is the actual holding period prevailing in your investment strategy?

Please respond on a best-effort basis and tick one holding period per category of securities

	Less than 1 year	1-4 years	5-8 years	9-12 years	More than 12 years	Not applicable
Equity	0	0	•	0	0	0
Bonds	0	•	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	•	0

* Please mention the other categories of securities which you invest in and indicate the holding period you generally apply

1400 character(s) maximum

Infrastructure, real estate and private equity investments are not all securities but all have an investment horizon exceeding 10 and in most cases 12 years.

Amundi manages funds with different profiles and time horizons and our response above is just an indication of the most largely distributed funds, outside money market funds.

12. To which extent does each of the following factors drive the actual holding period prevailing in your investment strategy?

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
Profitability	•	0	0	0	0
Shareholders' interest	0	0	0	0	•
Competitive pressure	0	0	•	0	0
Client demand	0	•	0	0	0
Remuneration practices in the financial sector	•	0	0	0	0
Economic activities	0	0	0	•	0
ESG	0	0	0	•	0

Monetary policies / macroeconomic factors	0	0	0	•	0
Non-prudential regulation (e.g. tax regulation)	0	•	0	0	0
Prudential regulation	0	•	0	0	0
Company reporting requirements (any type of disclosure)	•	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0

2800 character(s) maximum

An asset manager runs funds and portfolios in the best interest of its client investors. Clients demands are discussed at the time of the investment decision by the client; afterwards the asset manager is responsible for investments and clients do not interfere.

ESG is gaining importance as a major factor for investment decision. It does impact the holding period but has a larger reach in the investment process. Macro economic factors are necessarily taken into consideration in investment decisions.

On the other hand, we do not see remuneration, reporting nor profitability for the management company (as opposed to performance of the investment) as factors influencing the holding period. Tax and prudential regulations are relevant for clients that consolidate their holdings internally in total transparency. Asset managers tend to be neutral on those 2 factors, except if specifically asked by clients.

13. On a best-effort basis, in the next 2 years, how do you expect the average holding period of the following portfolios to evolve?

Please tick one holding period per category of assets

	Increasing by less than 6 months	Increasing by 6- 12 months	Increasing by more than 12 months	No (notable) change	Decreasing by less than 6 months	Decreasing by 6-12 months	Decreasing by more than 12 months
Equities	0	0	0	•	0	0	©
Fixed Income	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Please provide any relevant information supporting your expectations

1400 character(s) maximum

Amundi manages funds with different risk profiles and time horizons and our response above is just an indication of the most largely distributed funds, outside money market funds. We do not expect any major change in the holding period of our investment.

14. To which extent will the expected evolution in the average holding period, indicated under question 13, be driven by each of the following factors?

14.a Equities

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
* Profitability	•	0	0	0	0
* Shareholders' interest	0	0	0	0	•
* Competitive pressure	0	0	•	0	0
* Client demand	0	•	0	0	0
* Remuneration practices in the financial sector	•	0	0	0	0
* Economic activities	0	0	0	•	0
* ESG	0	0	0	•	0
* Monetary policies / macroeconomic factors	0	0	0	•	0
* Non-prudential regulation (e.g. tax regulation)	0	•	0	0	0
* Prudential regulation	0	•	0	0	0
* Company reporting requirements (any type of disclosure)	•	0	0	0	0
* Other	0	0	0	0	•

14.b Fixed Income

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
* Profitability	•	0	0	0	0

* Shareholders' interest	0	0	0	0	•
Competitive pressure	0	0	•	0	0
* Client demand	0	•	0	0	0
* Remuneration practices in the financial sector	•	0	0	0	0
* Economic activities	0	0	0	•	0
* ESG	0	0	0	•	0
* Monetary policies / macroeconomic factors	0	0	0	•	0
* Non-prudential regulation (e.g. tax regulation)	0	•	0	0	0
* Prudential regulation	0	•	0	0	0
* Company reporting requirements (any type of disclosure)	•	0	0	0	0
* Other	0	0	0	0	•

* Please explain your response and, if necessary, indicate any other types of securities you hold and the factors which drive your holding period for those securities

2800 character(s) maximum

Due to the diversity of portfolios managed by Amundi, these answers are not appropriate for a given specific example. They give an idea on the average profile of portfolios for both bonds and equities.

* Please mention any other factors which you believe will imply a change in the average holding period for your equity and / or bonds and indicate their relevance between 1 (Not at all) and 5 (To a great extent)

1400 character(s) maximum

It is an evidence that divestment decisions that determine the time of the holding period are influenced by ma,y other factors. The first example that comes to mind is the risk management that may impose to sell a position urgently.

III. Disclosures on ESG factors and their contribution to long-term investment strategies

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

15. Based on your experience, please indicate to which extent you agree with the following statement:
"Disclosure of ESG information by listed companies enables investors to take long-term investment
decisions".

- 1: Totally disagree
- 2: Mostly disagree
- 3: Partially disagree and partially agree
- 4: Mostly agree
- 5: Totally agree
- *17. Why does disclosure of ESG information by listed companies enable long-term investment?

Please respond by selecting one or several items from the list below

- ESG disclosure provides insights into a listed company's long-term risk profile
- ESG disclosure provides insights into a listed company's future financial performance
- ESG disclosure complements the information provided by listed companies in their financial statements
- Other

18. Even though you acknowledge that disclosure of ESG information by listed companies could enable long-term investment, you might have observed impediments as to how this link may work in practice. To which extent each of the following factors may discourage investors from using ESG disclosure to apply a long-term investment horizon?

Please respond by selecting one or several items from the list below

	1: Not at all	2: To a small extent	3: To some extent	4: To a large extent	5: To a great extent
* Lack of sufficient independent assurance on the provided ESG disclosure	•	0	0	0	0
* Lack of quantitative evidence regarding how the listed company contributes to national or international sustainability targets	0	0	•	•	0
* Lack of consistency between the disclosed ESG policies and evidence of the listed company's actions	0	0	•	•	0
* Lack of sufficiently forward-looking disclosure on ESG risks and opportunities	0	0	0	•	0
* Lack of comparability between different listed companies' disclosure due to the NFRD disclosure requirements not being sufficiently detailed and allowing for the use of various disclosure frameworks	0	0	•	0	•
* Lack of a clear link between ESG matters and the current and future performance of the listed company	0	•	0	•	0

Lack of an integrated presentation and analysis of financial and non-financial performance	0	•	0	0	0
* Lack of information on the disclosure framework (s) which listed companies use	0	0	0	•	0
* Lack of an explicit statement indicating that the listed company's Board of Directors takes responsibility for the relevance, accuracy and completeness of the ESG disclosure provided	0	0	0	0	•
Lack of access to / availability of ESG disclosure in data aggregators or other source data providers	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of sufficient knowledge by investors on how to incorporate ESG disclosure into their decision-making process	0	•	0	0	0
* Other	0	0	0	0	•

* Ple to apply a long-term investment horizon

1400 character(s) maximum

There many other factor but it is contrary to the questionnaire approach to ask for comments.

19. In your view, would requiring specific disclosures on intangible assets which are not accounted for in the financial statements enable long-term investment decisions?

- Yes
- No

* Please explain your response

1400 character(s) maximum

It is the role of financial analysts to evaluate intangible assets and issuers should not be required to produce more figures than what exists currently on goodwill and depreciation.

20. The NFRD gives companies flexibility to disclose non-financial information to the extent necessary for an understanding of the undertaking's development, performance, position and the impact of its activity in relation to non-financial matters. Do you consider that further requirements are needed to increase the level of detail in the disclosure requirements regarding non-financial information?

- Yes
- No

* Please indicate which of the following approaches you consider appropriate:

Detailed disclosure requirements should be set out in an EU regulation (i.e. a piece of legislation which is directly applicable in all EU Member States)

Detailed disclosure requirements should be included in the NFRD (which is a directive and as such leaves it to Member States to transpose the disclosure requirements into their national law)

- The NFRD should be amended to require use of a specific, binding disclosure framework (e.g. based on the principles included in the European Commission's guidelines on non-financial reporting or other established disclosure frameworks)
- Other
- * Please explain the other approach considered

2800 character(s) maximum

We expect that the new sustainable finance package and in particular the disclosure part will be a good
opportunity for improvement on a principle based approach.

- 21. Do you consider that further steps in the area of non-financial reporting are needed at the national or the European level to enable investors to take long-term investment decisions?
 - Yes
 - O No
- * Please indicate which of the following approaches you consider appropriate:
 - The NFRD should be amended to require a broader group of companies to disclose ESG information
 - The NFRD should be amended to require that ESG disclosure is audited by an external, independent entity
 - Enforcement powers on ESG disclosures should be strengthened and made more consistent across the Union
 - Other
- * Please specify

1400 character(s) maximum

We expect that the new sustainable finance package and in particular the disclosure part will be a good opportunity for improvement on a principle based approach.

IV. The role of fair value in better investment decision-making

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

- 22. Based on your experience, please indicate to which extent you agree with the following statement: "For the purpose of undertaking an internal assessment of the performance of long-term investments held in equity instruments, fair value provides a company's management with relevant information in order to better understand the short-term and the long-term consequences of the investments held"
 - 1: Totally disagree
 - 2: Mostly disagree
 - 3: Partially disagree and partially agree

4: Mostly agree5: Totally agree
ease explain your re
1400 character(s) ma

* Ple response and provide evidence, where available

maximum

It depends on the business model of the portfolio. We agree that fair value is the most relevant approach for equity when they are actively traded (trading portfolio). For illiquid assets such as private equity and portfolio dedicated to a long term investment, either when funded with long term financing or held with a view to cover identified long term liabilities. Historical cost and impairment rules are more relevant in these cases. The main challenges around use of fair value are more specific to the application of the IFRS 9 requirements. Please refer to our response to Question 24.

23. Based on your experience, please indicate to which extent you agree with the following statement: "For the purpose of enabling an external analyst or investor to assess the performance of long-term investments held in equity instruments by a company, fair value provides relevant information in order to better understand the short-term and the long-term consequences of the investments"

\bigcirc	1:	Tota	llν	disa	aree

- 2: Mostly disagree
- 3: Partially disagree and partially agree
- 4: Mostly agree
- 5: Totally agree
- * Please explain your response and provide evidence, where available

1400 character(s) maximum

According to their business model, equity investments should be accounted for differently. We believe that long-term investments should be valued on the same basis for both internal and external reporting purposes and that fair value is relevant for liquid assets held in a trading portfolio but not for illiquid assets and long term portfolios. In any case, fair value cannot be totally disregarded and a strict impairment process is necessary to take into account any depreciation of a permanent nature, even in long-term portfolios.

24. Is the current accounting treatment for equity instruments under IFRS 9 [1] a decisive factor in discouraging a company from undertaking new long-term investments in equities?

[1] Under IFRS 9 Financial Instruments equity instruments are accounted for at fair value with the possibility to exclude fair value changes from the statement of profit or loss

- Yes
- O No
- * Please explain your response, including whether you already apply IFRS 9, and provide evidence where available

1400 character(s) maximum

Amundi received evidence from investor clients that IFRS 9 has a disruptive impact on their investment strategy. Their main 3 concerns are about accounting of units of funds, reversibility of impairment and recycling of the result in P/L when disposed of.

(1) The treatment of investment funds holdings as debt instruments measured at fair value through P&L (rather than OCI), irrespective of the respective economic substance and the business model. This creates

unnecessary volatility in reported earnings due to short-term temporary fair value changes which may disincentive investors from seeking certain long-term investment opportunities available only through investment funds.

- (2) Depreciation has to be reversible to reflect market conditions. According to strict impairment rules to be defined depreciation will be accounted for in case of significant and durable downside price movement. It should be reversible when prices get back to their former level.
- (3) The restriction of an investor's ability to recycle and recognise fair value gains into P&L on long-term equity investments (other than those held for trading) measured at fair value through OCI. This distorsion leads to a preference in equity investments with stable dividend distributions over long-term investment creating value mainly through capital gains.

25. Is the current accounting treatment for equity instruments under IFRS 9 [1] a decisive factor in triggering divestment by a company of existing equity holdings elected for the long-term?

[1] Under IFRS 9 Financial Instruments equity instruments are accounted for at fair value with the possibility to exclude fair value changes from the statement of profit or loss

- Yes
- O No
- * Please explain your response, including whether you already apply IFRS 9, and provide evidence where available

1400 character(s) maximum

We are facing reappraisal of their investment policy by large investors who consider, for purely accountability reasons, the advantage of moving from holding units in a fund to signing a mandate...at the cost of an increased administrative burden for them. We believe that accounting should be neutral and not favour one or the other of two options that have the same economic substance.

More specifically decommission engagement of some energy firms will widely and wildly impact the P/L of the firm if the business model of long term investment covering explicit liabilities is not recognised. Some realise that accounting rules will make it impossible to match long term liabilities with long term assets. They will be under constratint to favour dividend flows and low volatility investment that do not offer the best profile for hedging their long term liabilities.

26. In your view, what are the factors that may impact the relevance to users of financial statements of fair value measurements for long-term investments?

You may choose more than one factor

- Volatility in reported earnings
- Measurement errors (in Level 2 or 3 Fair Value)
- Complexity of calculations (in Level 2 or 3 Fair Value)
- Management's opportunistic behaviour (in Level 2 or 3 Fair Value)
- Insufficient involvement of independent third-party assessment (in Level 2 or 3 Fair Value)
- Limited relationship with the expected developments of fair value in the long-term
- Other

V. Institutional investors' engagement

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

(Active
(Passive
+ Proc	dominantly lang tarm or chart tarm?
	dominantly long-term or short-term? Short-Term
	Long-Term
	se explain your response also in connection with the investment time horizon you have indicated under stion 8
28	200 character(s) maximum
	Amundi manages funds with different risk profiles and investment strategies. Active long term investment is the standard of the industry. We have developed passive, including smart beta, and short term (for example MMFs) strategies that are not marginal.
	ase respond to the remainder of this section based on (i) the investment strategy you have indicated fer question 27 and (ii) the investment time horizon you have indicated under question 8
ques	Please elaborate on how the actual holding period of your investments (as you have indicated under stion 11) matches with your investment mandate
	In question 11 we indicated an average figure. But the essence of our activity is to offer investment solutions to client investors. The actual holding period in our portfolios mirrors the demand of different types of clients who have different risk profile and investment objectives.
inve	To which extent does your firm integrate long-term value considerations for the purpose of setting its stment strategy (and subsequent portfolio allocation choices)? 1: Not at all
0	2: To a small extent
0	3: To some extent
(4: To a large extent
(5: To a great extent
enga	To which extent does your firm integrate long-term value considerations for the purpose of setting its agement policy (and subsequent engagement activities)? 1: Not at all 2: To a small extent 3: To some extent 4: To a large extent
	5: To a great extent
31. ł	How does your firm engage with the investee companies in order to mitigate any potential sources of

27. Is your investment strategy predominantly active or passive?

undue short-termism?

Please select one or several options from the below list ✓ Voting at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) ✓ Private engagement (bilateral meetings, conference calls, etc.) Collective engagement initiatives (coalitions, engagement platforms, etc.) Litigation (or a threat to use litigation as a negotiating tool) Other
In case you selected more than one option in Question 31, please explain how you select different tools used for engagement 2800 character(s) maximum
The AGM is the moment all shareholders have the opportunity to express views, mainly through their votes. We use this opportunity. We believe that direct contacts are necessary to discuss our views and concerns with the management. Exactly like financial analysts visit the company to discuss accounts, our analysts dedicated to engagement and voting contact firms to discuss and particularly explain our votes ahead of the AGM.
* 32. What are the main topics your firm engages on in order to mitigate any potential sources of undue short-termism?
You may choose more than one factor Remuneration of directors
 Board appointments (including board diversity, independence, tenure)
Related party transactions
Pay-out policy (dividends, share buybacks, etc.)
 ESG / sustainability-related
Other
*33. To which extent does your firm rely on proxy advisors for the purpose of deciding how to vote in order to mitigate any potential sources of undue short-termism?
1: Not at all
2: To a small extent
3: To some extent
4: To a large extent
5: To a great extent
* Please indicate from how many proxy advisors you obtain advice and indicate whether you have your own engagement team and, if you do, its size
1400 character(s) maximum
We subscribe to proxy services to be well informed on the AGM to come and the proposals that will be discussed. We usually express our vote individually and do not want to give a general delegation to a proxy intermediary.
34. Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: "Proxy advisors take into consideration long-term value when they provide voting advice" 1: Totally disagree 2: Mostly disagree

1400 character(s) maximum	
Conflicts of interest is an example of considerations that proxy advisors are attentive to. It is not a short term issue but more a governance and long term issue.	
35. Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: "Engagement activities can be an efficient way of mitigating any potential sources of undue short -termism"	
1: Totally disagree	
2: Mostly disagree	
3: Partially disagree and partially agree	
4: Mostly agree	
5: Totally agree	
* Please provide quantitative or anecdotal evidence to corroborate your answer 1400 character(s) maximum	
Engagement means building a relationship with the issuer with a view to provide useful advise. We suggest amendments that will require time to implement and it implies that we have a long term view. The issuer will be more attentive to our views if there is a feeling that Amundi will hold its position for the long term.	
36. To which extent do you consider your engagement activities successful in mitigating any potential sources of undue short-termism? 1: Not at all	
2: To a small extent	
3: To some extent	
4: To a large extent	
5: To a great extent	
* Please provide quantitative or anecdotal evidence to corroborate your answer. 1400 character(s) maximum	
When a company officer spontaneously calls us when preparing the AGM and asks for our viewpoint on an issue, we get the confirmation that engagement is decisive.	
37. Which are the main obstacles that institutional investors face when engaging with investee companies and how could they be addressed in your view?	,
2800 character(s) maximum	_

3: Partially disagree and partially agree

*Please provide quantitative or anecdotal evidence to corroborate your response

4: Mostly agree5: Totally agree

38.Please indicate your agreement with the following statement: "The recent entry into application of the
revised Shareholder Rights Directive is going to increase the extent to which your firm takes into account
long-term value considerations for the purpose of setting your investment strategy and engagement policy'

- 1: Totally disagree
- 2: Mostly disagree
- 3: Partially disagree and partially agree
- 4: Mostly agree
- 5: Totally agree
- * Please elaborate and explain which regulatory improvements could be considered, if any

2800 character(s) maximum

Actually, the Shareholders Right directive review (SRD2) creates a new burden for investors and indirectly the asset managers they use. The relationship between the AM and the investor client is a contractual one that requires confidentiality. In some provisions SRD2 suggests an excessive transparency. The positive aspects of matching investment objectives on the assets side and long term liabilities on the other side are, and should be, principles based. They will have an impact starting from the implementation of SRD2.

VI. Remuneration of fund managers

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

Part A: Remuneration of identified staff in funds

39. What is the average investment horizon of the funds managed by your firm?

Please select one investment horizon per category of fund

	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	Over 10 years	Not applicable
Hedge funds	0	0	0	0	0	•
Private equity	0	0	0	0	•	•
Equity	0	0	0	•	0	0
Fixed income	0	0	•	0	0	0
Real estate	0	0	0	0	•	0
Alternative	0	0	0	0	0	•
Other	•	0	0	0	0	0

* Please specify

1400 character(s) maximum

Other is MMFs.

Alternative is too diversified to give a relevant answer.

40. In the salaries of identified staff [1] of your firm's funds, what is the average share of the variable component compared to the fixed component?

[1] Defined in the Guidelines on sound remuneration policies under the UCITS Directive (ESMA/2016/575) and Guidelines on sound remuneration policies under the AIFMD (ESMA/2013/232)

	0-20%	20-30%	30-40%	40-50%	Over 50%	Not applicable
Hedge funds	0	0	0	0	0	•
Private equity	0	0	0	0	•	0
Equity	0	0	0	0	•	0
Fixed income	0	0	0	© ©	•	0
Real estate	0	0	0	0	•	0
Alternative	0	0	0	0	0	•
Other	0	0	0	0	•	0

Ple	ase	spe	cify
-----------------------	-----	-----	------

1 100	, ,	/ 1	,
74/1//	character	191	maximum
7-700	Ul lal autol	10/	IIIUNIIIIIIII

Same as above.

41. Over what average time is the reference period for variable remuneration calculated for the identified staff of your firm's funds?

	Less than 1 year	1-4 years	5-8 years	9-12 years	More than 12 years	Not applicable
Hedge funds	0	0	0	0	•	•
Private equity	0	•	0	0	0	0
Equity	0	•	0	0	0	0
Fixed income	0	•	0	0	0	0
Real estate	0	•	0	0	•	0
Alternative	0	0	0	0	0	•
Other	0	•	0	0	0	0

* Please specify

1400 character(s) maximum

Other means: by default reference to the global policy of Amundi. -

The Group remuneration policy has been designed to prevent Investment managers from short-termism by putting in place the following mechanisms:

- o The quantitative criteria supporting the performance evaluation for Investment managers are typically evaluated over 1,3, 5 years,
- o Bonus pools for the various sector are cascaded down based on the contribution of each sector to the overall performance, within the overall limit set by the remuneration policy (a percentage of GOP). The evaluation of each sector's performance is made by managers, in a non-mechanical manner. Hence, boosting artificially the performance of a sector would trigger less effect,
- o The managerial decision (as opposed to a formulaic approach) when making bonus decision is also preventing us from behaviors that might be driven by short termism and helping us to soften fluctuation upwards and downwards

42. What average percentage of variable remuneration do you defer for identified staff of your firm's funds?

	40-50%	50-60%	60-70%	70-80%	Over 80%	Not Applicable	
Hedge funds	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Private equity	0	•	0	0	0	0	
Equity	0	• 0	0	0	0	0	
Fixed income	0	•	0	0	0	0	
Real estate	0	•	0	0	0	0	
Alternative	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	•	0	0	0	0	

* Please specify

1400 character(s) maximum

by default: reference to Amundi's global remuneration policy. See above.

43. On average, over what period do you defer the payment of the variable remuneration for identified staff of your firm's funds?

	3-4 years			9-10 years	More than 10 years	Not applicable	
Hedge funds	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Private equity	•	0	0	0	0	0	
Equity	•	0	0	0	0	0	

Fixed income	•	0	0	0	•	0
Real estate	•	0	0	0	0	0
Alternative	0	0	0	0	0	•
Other	•	0	0	0	0	0

Please	specify
--------------------------	---------

1400 character(s) maximum

By default: reference to Amundi's global remuneration policy. See above.

- 44. Do you believe there are common practices in the remuneration of fund managers that contribute to short-termism?
 - Yes
 - No

Part B: Remuneration of corporate executives

- 45. In your firm, what is the average share of the variable component of executive remuneration compared to the fixed component?
 - 0-20%
 - 0 21-30%
 - 0 31-40%
 - 0 41-50%
 - Over 50%
- 46. Over what average time is the reference period calculated for variable remuneration of your firm's executives?
 - Less than 1 year
 - 1-4 years
 - 5-8 years
 - 8-12 years
 - Over 12 years
- 47. Over what average period is the payment of the variable remuneration of your firm's executives deferred?
 - less than 3 years
 - 3-5 years
 - 6-7 years
 - 8-9 years
 - 10 years or more
- 48. Is the awarding of variable remuneration to your firm's executives linked to any ESG-related objectives?

	Yes
0	No

- 49. Do you believe there are common practices in the remuneration of corporate executives that contribute to short-termism?
 - Yes
 - No

VII. Use of CDS by investment funds

Click <u>here</u> for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

50. What percentage of your funds are exposed to CDS?

Please indicate the closest applicable percentage and use 0 to indicate 'not applicable'

	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
All funds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UCITS funds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

51. If your funds are exposed to CDS, what are they primarily exposed to?

Please fill in the table with the applicable percentages and use 0 to indicate 'not applicable'

	Single name CDS	Index CDS	Basket CDS	Other
All funds				
UCITS funds				
AIFs				

In case you reported a non-zero percentage to Other in question 51, please specify are referring to	which kind of CDS you
1400 character(s) maximum	

52. What kinds of CDS exposures do your funds hold?

Please fill in the table with the applicable percentages and use 0 to indicate 'not applicable'

	Sell only	Net sell	Net buy	Buy only
All funds				
UCITS funds				
AIFs				

53. If any of your funds hold sell only or net sell CDS positions, what is their primary investmen	it strategy?
--	--------------

	Equity	Fixed income	Alternative	Other
All funds	0	•	0	0
UCITS funds	0	•	0	0
AIFs	0	0	0	0

54. What is the average size of your fund's holding of sell only or net sell CDS exposures, expressed in assets under management (AUM)?

Please select the relevant range for each category

	Below €1 million	€1 million ≤X≥ €10 million	€10 million <x≥ €100 million</x≥ 	€100 million <x≥ billion<="" th="" €1=""><th>Over €1 billion</th></x≥>	Over €1 billion
All funds	0	•	©	0	0
UCITS funds	0	0	©	0	0
AIFs	0	0	0	0	0

55. If you hold sell only or net sell CDS positions in any of your funds, please select in the list below one	or
several reasons for holding sell only or net sell CDS positions	

- ☑ To gain credit exposure to underlying credit name / index / basket
- To improve returns in fund through collecting CDS premia
- Other

* Please specify

1400 character(s) maximum

To keep liquidity available in the balance sheet and gain exposure in compliance with the investment strategy of the fund.

To benefit from the diversification that an index provides.

To take position on a more actively traded market than bonds.

56. If	you hold sell onl	y or net sell CDS	positions in any	y of	your funds, do	you:
--------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	------	----------------	------

- Monitor underlying default risk of the CDS reference instrument / index / basket?
- Believe your positions accentuate tail risk exposure in the funds holding them?
- Monitor potential tail risk exposure in your funds with sell only or net sell CDS positions?
- Take into account the leverage in the exposed fund?
- Other

* Please explain your response

1400 character(s) maximum

In most cases the exposure to credit risk through CDS is not conceived as a leveraged position but either as an alternative to corporate bond holding or as part of an arbitrage strategy.

57. Are there other classes of derivatives used by investment funds that could increase short-termism in the economy?

2800 character(s) maximum

We do not share the link that ESMA suggests between derivatives and short termism. Opting for most liquid positions to gain exposure to one market segment does not prove an intent to trade short term. Derivatives may have to be rolled or renewed but the exposure may be maintained over a long term period. Furthermore, short term adjustments in a long term strategy are necessary and often most efficiently made via derivatives.

VIII. Final

Click here for the list of definitions, abbreviations and legal references included in the Explanatory Note

58. Do you have any additional input you wish to provide in relation to the topics covered in this survey? Please provide links to any relevant material / publications.

2800 character(s) maximum

Long term investment does not mean inactive investment and the holding period approach in this questionnaire is questionable. Indices change their components and weightings. Long term portfolios as well should not remain static. It is in the interest of investors to arbitrage and improve the return over the long term. Low turn-over is not sufficient an indicator of long term investment.

Long term investors are keen to capture illiquidity premium where it exists. They do not primarily care for immediate liquidity. As a consequence, we believe that ELTIFs open to retail would be more attractive if not due to hold liquid assets. Private equity funds have a more easily understandable structure in that respect. There might be room for a long term retail fund following this private equity scheme.

59. Do you consider that any topics beyond those covered in the survey should be addressed in ESMA's advice to the European Commission on potential undue short-term pressures exercised by the financial sector on companies? Please provide links to any relevant material / publications.

2800 character(s) maximum

3 additional comments on measures that desincentivise long term investment.

- (1) As a result of MIFIR, financial analysts can no longer finance their research on smaller companies. The reduction of reports on SMEs has a direct consequence on the lack of attractiveness of their shares and creates an incentive to prefer large caps and reduced risk when SMEs need long term capital.
- (2) High Frequency Trading (HFT) is in itself shorter than short term, with microsecond time stamps. HFT should be banned from EU trading venues since (i) it is rather costly for regulators to try and maintain adequate IT equipment to trace it, (ii) its economic added value is nil for long term investors (who get the feeling that their trades based on fundamental research is used by parasites to make money on their back), (iii) it shows that short termism can be a regular source for profit with limited risk and, thus, sends a wrong way signal to long term investors.
- (3) MIFID appropriateness and suitability tests establish strong barriers preventing retail investors and small

institutions from investing in long term products, typically equity. These tests are too demanding, are based on the wrong assumption that investor protection means (excessive) prudence and prevent investors to benefit over the long term from a diversification in assets with different risk profiles.

60. Do you have any other comments or thoughts on the issue of short-termism? Please provide links to any relevant material / publications.

2800 character(s) maximum

Short termism is not a white or black issue. It is essentially a grey area, where actors are not totally clear on what they do and why, under which influence with which rationale. It is a mentality and conduct issue where good practices should be encouraged but should not be turned into mandatory requirements. Each actor has to be aware of the issue and find its own balance according to its investment horizon and risk profile.

Contact

short.termism@esma.europa.eu