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Responding to this paper

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) invites responses to the specific questions listed in the Discussion Paper on the review of the clearing thresholds published on the ESMA website.

*Instructions*

Please note that, in order to facilitate the analysis of the large number of responses expected, you are requested to use this file to send your response to ESMA so as to allow us to process it properly. Therefore, ESMA will only be able to consider responses which follow the instructions described below:

* use this form and send your responses in Word format (pdf documents will not be considered except for annexes);
* do not remove the tags of type <ESMA\_QUESTION\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs> - i.e. the response to one question has to be framed by the 2 tags corresponding to the question; and
* if you do not have a response to a question, do not delete it and leave the text “TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE” between the tags.

Responses are most helpful:

* if they respond to the question stated;
* indicate the specific question to which the comment relates;
* contain a clear rationale; and
* describe any alternatives ESMA should consider.

**Naming protocol**

In order to facilitate the handling of stakeholders’ responses please save your document using the following format:

ESMA\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_NAMEOFCOMPANY\_NAMEOFDOCUMENT.

e.g. if the respondent were ESMA, the name of the reply form would be:

ESMA\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_ESMA\_REPLYFORM

***Deadline***

Responses must reach us by **19 January 2021.**

All contributions should be submitted online at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading ‘Your input - Consultations’.

***Publication of responses***

All contributions received will be published following the end of the consultation period, unless otherwise requested. **Please clearly indicate by ticking the appropriate checkbox in the website submission form if you do not wish your contribution to be publicly disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure.** Note also that a confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA’s rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make is reviewable by ESMA’s Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

***Data protection***

Information on data protection can be found at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the headings ‘Legal notice’ and ‘Data protection’.

# General information about respondent

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of the company / organisation | Click here to enter text. |
| Activity | Choose an item. |
| Are you representing an association? |[ ]
| Country/Region | Choose an item. |

# Introduction

Please make your introductory comments below, if any:

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs>

1. Please explain if you see a need for further clarification on how to identify OTC contracts for the purpose of the calculation of the positions to be compared to the clearing thresholds.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_1>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_1>

1. Please explain if you see a need for further clarification to identify OTC contracts that can be considered as reducing risks directly relating to commercial activity or treasury financing activity. And please mention any additional aspects to be further considered with regards to the hedging exemption.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_2>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_2>

1. Please provide information and examples on how counterparties count fungible ETDs and OTC derivatives for the purpose of the calculation of the clearing thresholds?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_3>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_3>

1. Please provide data and arguments to illustrate the potential impact of the lack of an equivalence decision under Article 2a of EMIR and what could be done to alleviate your concerns (besides an equivalence decision)? Please specify the kind of transactions and activities that would be affected and the purpose of those, and whether there are alternatives.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_4>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_4>

1. Please describe the scenarios when transactions do not qualify as hedging transactions.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_5>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_5>

1. Please describe your views on how the EMIR framework works (also compared to other regimes) for the purpose of the clearing thresholds and the requirements triggered by those? Please provide examples and supporting data.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_6>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_6>

1. Considering the current coverage provided by the clearing thresholds in relation to credit derivatives and the different type of counterparties (FCs and NFCs); is there any aspect or issue you consider ESMA should look into or pay attention to? Please, in your answer, provide as granular details and any relevant data to illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_7>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_7>

1. Considering the current coverage provided by the clearing thresholds in relation to interest rate derivatives and the different type of counterparties (FCs and NFCs); is there any aspect or issue you consider ESMA should look into or pay attention to? Please, in your answer, provide as granular details and any relevant data to illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_8>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_8>

1. Considering the current coverage provided by the clearing thresholds in relation to commodity derivatives and the different type of counterparties (FCs and NFCs); is there any aspect or issue you consider ESMA should look into or pay attention to? Please, in your answer, provide as granular details and any relevant data to illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_9>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_9>

1. Considering the current coverage provided by the clearing thresholds in relation to equity derivatives and the different type of counterparties (FCs and NFCs); is there any aspect or issue you consider ESMA should look into or pay attention to? Please, in your answer, provide as granular details and any relevant data to illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_10>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_10>

1. Considering the current coverage provided by the clearing thresholds in relation to currency derivatives and the different type of counterparties (FCs and NFCs); is there any aspect or issue you consider ESMA should look into or pay attention to? Please, in your answer, provide as granular details and any relevant data to illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_11>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_11>

1. Beyond the different treatments between FCs and NFCs in the calculation, are there differences between the different types of counterparties that might justify a different calibration of the actual clearing thresholds? In addition, please consider if a different calibration of the current clearing thresholds by type of counterparty should apply in the same manner to all asset classes. Please provide any supporting data that might help illustrate your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_ DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_12>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_12>

1. Looking at the simulations presented in the paper and at the impact they would have, do you have any views on the sensitivities of the thresholds?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_13>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_DP\_EMIR\_CTs\_13>