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Responding to this paper

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) invites comments on all matters included in the Consultation Paper on the Regulatory Technical Standards on European Electronic Access Point (EEAP), published on the ESMA website. In particular, ESMA invites responses to the specific questions summarised in Annex III.

***Instructions***

Please note that, in order to facilitate the analysis of the responses expected, you are requested to use this file to send your response to ESMA so as to allow us to process it properly. Therefore, please follow the instructions described below:

1. use this form and send your responses in Word format;
2. do not remove the tags of type <ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_1> - i.e. the response to one question has to be framed by the 2 tags corresponding to the question; and
3. if you do not have a response to a question, do not delete it and leave the text “TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE” between the tags.

Responses are most helpful:

1. if they respond to the question stated;
2. indicate the specific question to which the comment relates
3. contain a clear rationale, including on any related costs and benefits; and
4. describe any alternatives that ESMA should consider

**Naming protocol:**

In order to facilitate the handling of stakeholders responses please save your document using the following format:

ESMA\_EEAP\_CP\_NAMEOFCOMPANY\_NAMEOFDOCUMENT.

E.g. if the respondent were ESMA, the name of the reply form would be ESMA\_EEAP\_CP \_ESMA\_REPLYFORM or ESMA\_EEAP\_CP\_ESMA\_ANNEX1

To help you navigate this document more easily, bookmarks are available in “Navigation Pane” for Word 2010.

ESMA will consider all comments received by **30 March 2015**.

All contributions should be submitted online at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading ‘Your input/Consultations’.

***Publication of responses***

All contributions received will be published following the end of the consultation period, unless you request otherwise. **Please clearly and prominently indicate by ticking the appropriate checkbox in the website submission form if you do not wish your contribution to be publicly disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure.** Note also that a confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA’s rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make is reviewable by ESMA’s Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

***Data protection***

Information on data protection can be found at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading ‘Disclaimer’.

***Who should read this paper***

In particular, comments are sought from issuers, officially appointed mechanisms, investors, users of regulated information and stakeholders at large who are affected by Directive 2004/109/EC of December 2004 as amended by Directive 2013/50/EC.

# General information about respondent

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Are you representing an association? | No |
| Activity: | Others (please specify) | Malta’s OAM (Malta Stock Exchange |
| Country/Region | Malta |

# Consultation Paper Questions

##### Do you agree with the proposed search criteria? If not, what other search functionalities should the EEAP provide to end-users?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_1>

We agree but include search by date

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_1>

##### Do you agree with the requirements to ensure an easy access to regulated information?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_2>

In general agree to ensure an easy access to end users including the provisions of searches within metadata (within the limits of development time and costs). Similarly we agree that where possible cross platform access should be facilitated.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_2>

##### Do you agree with the requirements on availability service, technologies used and support?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_3>

We agree with the use of HTTPS protocol to connect to an OAM whilst keeping all options should new technologies prove to be more efficient.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_3>

##### Do you agree with technical infrastructure chosen by ESMA?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_4>

Both option 1 and 4 (without 4b)can be implemented. Our 1st preference is option1.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_4>

##### Do you agree with the abandoned list of requirements? If not, which one (s) should ESMA reconsider?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_5>

Yes

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_5>

##### Are there any other requirements not mentioned in this section that should be considered by ESMA? Please provide your reasoning

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_6>

Search by Date and Time, (Company Name)

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_6>

##### Do you agree with the requirements on the technologies used, support and maintenance for OAMs?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_7>

Technical Standards need to be more detailed. In case of future technology standards, ESMA should consult OAMs before implementation.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_7>

##### Do you agree with the requirements to facilitate the access to regulated information?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_8>

Model to be chosen is still unclear. We agree to your proposal regarding the pricing policy to end users. With regards to other charges this depends on the model chosen.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_8>

##### Do you agree that the LEI should be used by OAMs as the unique identifier for each issuer?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_9>

Although it is not currently used, we agree. However any difficulties associated when using such identifier should be addressed immediately and in more detail

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_9>

##### Do you agree that in absence of a LEI corresponding to a natural person, an OAM shall use the CONCAT code as the unique identifier?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_10>

No as this could lead to duplication of records

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_10>

##### Do you agree with the requirements on the common format of the information to be enabled to the EEAP by OAMs?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_11>

We agree

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_11>

##### Do you agree with the requirements on the common format for the delivery of regulated information?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_12>

XML format is fine with us. Exchanging Metadata needs to be clarified. Metadata only sent to the EEAP is our preference

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_12>

##### Do you agree with the common list of regulated information?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_13>

We agree in principal however more clarification is needed

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_13>

##### In your opinion, while searching for financial information about a specific company (on national OAMs websites); what is the preferred way to classify/organise this information (for more information on the options, please see the picture below)? Please provide your reasoning

Option 1: Classification of regulated information, based on their frequency (e.g. periodic vs. on-going regulated information)

Option 2: Legal classification, based on the directives which require such disclosure of information [Transparency Directive](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:390:0038:0057:EN:PDF)/ [Amended Transparency Directive](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:294:0013:0027:EN:PDF), [Market Abuse Directive](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32003L0006&from=EN) and Additional regulated information as adopted by Member States



<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_14>

Our Preference is option 1 since option 2 shall need to be updated whenever Regulated Information according to the MAD and TD is changed. Also it seems more practical for the target audience. OAMs Classifications is to be agreed by all OAMs as different OAMs have different requirements as defined by the regulator.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_14>

Cost & Benefit Analysis questions

##### Please classify which type of Stakeholder you qualify? (please tick one as appropriate)

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_15>

|  |
| --- |
|[ ]  Financial Analysts |
|[ ]  Retail investor associations |
|[ ]  Other stakeholders' associations |
|[ ]  Institutional investors |
|[ ]  Issuers |
|[ ]  Auditors/ Accounting bodies |
|[x]  Others (please specify in the textbox below) |

MALTA OAM

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_15>

##### In your opinion, which type of stakeholder would benefit the most from the EEAP? (please tick one as appropriate)

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_16>

|  |
| --- |
|[x]  Financial Analysts |
|[ ]  Retail investor associations |
|[ ]  Other stakeholders' associations |
|[x]  Institutional investors |
|[ ]  Issuers |
|[ ]  Auditors/ Accounting bodies |
|[ ]  Others (please specify in the textbox below) |

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_16>

##### Once the EEAP is operational, would it become your first source for searching for financial information about a specific company? Please provide details

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_17>

Not applicable

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_17>

##### Once the EEAP is operational, how much time do you expect to save (in comparison with the current situation) while searching for financial information about a specific company (per search)?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_18>

|  |
| --- |
|[ ]  Less than 5 minutes |
|[ ]  Between 5 and 15 minutes |
|[ ]  Between 15 and 30 minutes |
|[ ]  Between 30 minutes and 1 hour |
|[ ]  More than 1 hour |
|[x]  Don’t know/ No opinion |

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_18>

##### Which type of regulated information would you more often search while using the EEAP (please tick one as appropriate)?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_19>

|  |
| --- |
|[x]  Historical financial statements (annual / half yearly financial reports) |
|[ ]  Price Sensitive information |
|[ ]  Major shareholdings notifications |
|[ ]  Payments to governments |
|[ ]  Trading on own shares |
|[ ]  Total number of voting rights and capital |
|[ ]  Changes in the rights attaching to the classes of shares or securities |

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_19>

##### In your opinion, to what extent will the EEAP provide the following benefits? Please rate each benefit from 1 to 5 according to the benefits expected by market participants (1 being the lowest amount of expected benefits and 5 the highest).

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_20>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Improved quality of the information accessed by investors (e.g. harmonised classification of Regulated Information, comparability of information). |
| 2 | Increased interest from market participants (e.g. more investments, more investors). |
| 3 | Increased quantity of information accessed by investors (e.g. disclosure of corporate ownership). |
| 3 | Reduced costs while searching for Regulated Information (e.g. time saved). |
| 4 | Easier cross-market searches for Regulated Information, facilitating investment decisions. |
| 4 | Faster cross-market searches for Regulated Information. |

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_20>

##### In your opinion, will the EEAP bring any additional benefit(s) to end-user? Please explain below

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_21>

Yes particularly if the end user would like to search for data across different OAMs and it will be more beneficial if English is used as the common language.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_EEAP\_CP\_21>