To:

The Committee of European Securities Regulators

Mr Fabrice Demarigny Secretary General by email at: <u>secreariat@europefesco.org</u>

Milan, 4th August, 2003

Dear Sirs,

CESR's Advice on Level 2 Implementing Measures for the Proposed Prospectus Directive - Consultation Paper -

Further to analyzing CESR's Advice on Level 2 Implementing Measures for the Proposed Prospectus Directive we reproduce here below our answer to Question n° 89.

Derivative securities: Past performance and volatility

From CESR Paper, page 17:

"84. Last proposal of the SN Derivatives Schedule as set out in Annex E requires disclosure of past performance of the underlying and its volatility. This requirement states:

- Information required in respect of the underlying, a statement setting out the type of the underlying and details of where information on the underlying can be obtained;

4.2.2.

- past performance of the underlying – in a practical form or otherwise – and its volatility over a period corresponding to at least the maturity of the derivative security; in any case a period

of two years is sufficient.

• • •

Three options were discussed:

1. No past performances and no volatility should be required.

2. Mandatory indication of where information about past performances and volatility can be found or, if not easily accessible, indication by the issuer of the past performances and the volatility.

3. Mandatory indication of past performances and volatility only where the issuer has composed the underlying of the derivative instrument."

QUESTION

89. Which of the above options do you consider should be adopted by CESR (1, 2 or 3)? Please state your reasons.

Capitale Sociale €150.000.000 i.v.- Codice Fiscale e Iscrizione Registro Imprese di Milano n. 13197800157 Codice ABI 3161.7 - R.E.A n. 1632527

TRADINGLAB®

ANSWER:

We consider that option 3. should be adopted.

As from point 4.2.2, first indent, it will be required "a statement setting out the type of the underlying and details of where information on the underlying can be obtained".

It should be clearly mentioned in the prospectus where this information can be easily obtained - through internet websites, specialized newspapers, etc.

Only *where the issuer has composed the underlying of the derivative instrument*, which could not be found on independent provider the volatilities and past performances should be added.

We agree with CESR, where it says "there should be a clear warning to the investors stating that past performances and volatility do not give reliable guidance on the future performance of the security".

Yours faithfully,

TradingLab Banca S.p.A.