THE COMMITTEE OF EUROPEAN SECURITIES REGULATORS

EXPLANATORY TEXT

Methodology

- 39. The rationale for having the concept of a registration document, is that issuers should produce a document that contains all the necessary information about the issuer. This information will have to reflect the nature of the issuer and it will therefore be appropriate to have different information provided by different types of issuers.
- 40. The building block approach allows the prospectus to be produced from various sets of disclosure requirements. The intention is to have a Core Equity building block for all issuers of equity. But there will also be building blocks that relate to certain specific types of issuers. These blocks will be required due to the specific nature of the issuer itself, or the nature of the business activities conducted by the issuer. Such specialist building blocks should only be required when the Core Equity building block is not capable of capturing all of the information that would be needed by investors to make an informed investment decision.
- 41. The IOSCO Disclosure standards apply to issues of equity securities (as defined in IOSCO IDS). CESR considered their direct application to issues of debt securities and other securities (such as derivatives). CESR concluded that it could not assume that the disclosure standards applicable for issuers of equity would automatically be the same as for issuers of debt securities. CESR has considered both the IOSCO Disclosure standards and those contained in the working paper produced by FESCO (FESCO/01-045 of July 2001) which set out some proposals for disclosures to be made in respect of retail bonds (i.e. bonds aimed at both retail and wholesale investors). According to the text of the amended Commission's proposal for the Prospectus Directive (art. 7, paragraph 1, letter b) a distinction in minimum information requirements should be provided for prospectuses concerning the dimission to trading on a regulated market of non-equity securities having a denomination per unit of at least EUR 50.000.
- 42. In relation to derivatives and other security types falling outside the definition of shares and bonds set out in the mandate, there was even greater doubt that IOSCO Disclosure standards would be of direct application. A more high level approach has therefore been taken in order to set terms of reference for future work.

EQUITY SECURITIES

43. The IOSCO Disclosure standards are of direct application to equities and it will therefore be no great surprise that the Core Equity building block for equity issuers draws heavily on those disclosure standards. The Core Equity building block is contained in Annex "A". Various issues have arisen on this Core Equity building block.

QUESTION

44. Do you agree with the disclosure obligations set out in Annex A?

Risk Factors

- 45. CESR felt that including a list of specific risk factors in the disclosure requirements could lead to difficulties. A list of factors that was "hardwired "into the disclosure requirements could be seen as an exclusive list rather than an illustrative list. It also seemed slightly odd to include an illustrative list in a disclosure requirement. There will inevitably be circumstances that required disclosure of a particular risk factor that fell outside the illustrative list. Such an approach is sensible for a set of general standards, but seemed incongruous for a set of legislative requirements that have to be met.
- 46. CESR decided that a better approach would be to have a disclosure requirement for risk factors. But that CESR would later produce guidance on the sort of risk factors that might be expected to be included under this disclosure requirement. This guidance would be amended in the light of experience and future developments in the market.

QUESTION

47. Do you agree with this approach?

In case the CESR decides that a better approach would be to have a disclosure requirement for risk factors, we suggest including a very broad definition of "risk factors". The interpretation of this broad definition will be later given by CESR's guidance, experience and future developments in the market.

Pro forma information

- 48. In specific circumstances, as explained in the subsequent paragraphs, companies are used to publishing results or other financial data on the basis of methodologies different than that of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). These types of statements are often referred to as "pro forma" financial information. The release of non GAAP financial information raises obvious investor protection concerns. If not prepared with due care, proforma statements might confuse or even mislead investors, for example by hiding or disguising GAAP results or by highlighting only the favourable items. Notwithstanding this, pro forma financial information can be very useful for investors if accompanied of cautionary warnings and disclosures about the assumptions the information is based on and how it compares with GAAP results.
- 49. In particular, CESR considers that pro forma financial information should be required in case of a significant gross change in the size of a

- company, due to a particular actual or planned transaction (with the exception of those few situations where merger accounting is required).
- "Significant gross change" should be read as meaning a variation of more than 25% relative to one or more indicators of the size of the issuer's business. For example the indicators might include consolidated (or unconsolidated if there is no group), total assets, turnover or earnings or the consideration (under a broad definition) of the transaction compared to market capitalisation prior to the transaction. The figures used to make this assessment should be extracted from the preceding financial year's audited figures (unless the calculations using this data produce an anomalous result, when the Competent Authority may substitute other relevant indicators of size). Pro forma financial information should normally also be required when several related gross changes, during the 12 months prior to the latest transaction, when taken aggregated result in a total change of more than 25% in one of the above mentioned indicators.

QUESTIONS

- 51. Do you agree that pro forma should be mandatory in case of a significant gross change in the size of a company, due to a particular actual or planned transaction?
- 52. Do you agree that pro forma financial information should also be required in all cases where there is or will be a significant gross change in the size of a company?
- 53. Do you agree that 25% is the correct threshold figure? Would a different figure, say 10%, be more appropriate?
- 54. The competent authority of the home country should be able, pursuant to Articles 5 and 21 of the proposed Prospectus Directive, to insist on pro forma financial information being included even if the above mentioned criteria are not met. However, this should only be possible where there has been a transaction or a transaction is planned and the provision of pro forma financial information would be material to investors (i.e. in order to satisfy the general requirement that all material information is included in the prospectus).

QUESTION

- 55. Do you agree that the competent authority should be able to insist on pro forma information being included where this would be material to investors?
- 56. Pro forma financial information substantially contributes to investors' better understanding of the structural changes to a company. For this reason, it must be prepared with due care and reflect in the most accurate manner possible the genuine belief of the management as to how the accounts of the group (or where relevant the company) might have been

presented had the restructuring occurred either in the past or in the future.

- 57. However, it is also vital that readers of prospectus should be absolutely clear as to the nature of any pro forma financial information presented and of its purpose. To achieve this, any pro forma financial information should be prefaced by an introductory explanatory paragraph that states in clear terms the purpose of preparing the information. The reader should then be warned that the information prepared is for illustrative purposes only and therefore may not give a true picture of the company's financial position or results. In addition, the actual historical financial information should be given greater prominence in the document containing the pro forma information.
- 58. This statement should make it clear that the information is intended to show the reader how the transaction might have affected the company's historic or forecast financial information had it been undertaken at the beginning of the period being reported on. In the case of a pro forma balance sheet or net asset statement it should be at the end of that period. It should be clear that it does not show what the company's position would have been or will be after the transaction has been completed. The publication of such information is permitted by a number of jurisdictions, including the USA. It is therefore important to have a standard format for pro forma information which would allow easier pan-European comparison. For example, a columnar approach could be used which separately identified the unadjusted information (normally that of the company), the pro forma adjustments (normally the target or other transaction specific adjustments) and the resulting pro forma financial information in the final column.
- 59. More consistent quality of the financial information presented in the pro forma statement can be achieved by restricting the financial periods for which proforma financial information may be presented. The source of that information should be restricted to previously published final or interim financial statements or previously published pro forma financial information. However, when the previously published information is not directly applicable (especially in case of spin off or merger; e.g. if the operation is conditioned by the sale of activities that are not part of the future core business), pro forma financial information may be based on other than published information, in order to provide investors with the best understanding of the new company (ies).
- 60. The only allowable adjustments should be those directly relevant to the transaction concerned and should not relate to future events or decisions. Adjustments should also be factually supportable.
- 61. The existence of an independent report made by an auditor, which can be the company's auditor, on the pro forma financial information provides readers of the prospectus with a level of comfort that a certain level of due diligence has been undertaken on the issues specifically referred to in the report. The company's reporting accountants should provide an

- opinion as to whether the information has been properly complied on the basis stated and, to ensure consistency and comparability, in accordance with the accounting policies of the company.
- 62. In order to ensure harmonisation of pro forma information the core definitions relating to pro forma as well as appropriate pro forma adjustments and presentation as well as instructions concerning auditor's review should be adopted.
- 63. The disclosure requirements relating to pro forma information are set out in Annex "B". These would form part of the disclosure requirements set out in CESR reference VII.G.1 of Core Equity Building Block (Annex "A").

QUESTIONS

- 64. Do you agree with the disclosure requirements in respect of proforma financial information as set out in Annex B, in particular with the obligation of an independent auditor's report?
- 65. Would it be more appropriate to restrict the disclosure of pro forma information to the occasions where securities are being issued in connection with the transaction and hence require pro forma information in the securities note?

Profit Forecasts

- 66. Profit forecasts and other future prospects are a controversial issue. On the one hand, if prepared with due diligence and on well-founded basis, these forecasts and prospects may help investors to make a reasoned assessment on the issuer and the expected economic profit relating to it. On the other hand, the profit forecasts and other disclosed future prospects may, in the worst case, be even misleading. In addition, prospects and profit forecasts disclosed in a prospectus are linked to the requirements of regular reporting and ad-hoc disclosure, especially when because of subsequent events or decisions the prospects or forecasts prove to be wrong or outdated.
- 67. Being material for the investors' assessment of the proposed investment, any forecast given in connection with a public offer or admission to trading (e.g. on a road-show) will also have to be disclosed in the prospectus. Regardless of whether the issuers are currently tapping the market, they are encouraged to disclose their forecast in the prospectus, while this kind of information will allow easier evaluation of the fairness and accuracy of the forecast and will facilitate comparability with actual results of the company. However, due to the potential risk of the information being misleading, certain regulatory limits are considered to be needed for disclosing this kind of information.
- 68. CESR believes that quantitative information about a company's level of profits at the end of the current financial year would be beneficial for investors. Accordingly, CESR proposes to allow this kind of disclosure in

prospectuses, with the scope and limits set out below. Alongside these voluntary quantitative projections, disclosure of known trends or other factual data with material impact on the issuers' prospects should continue to be mandatory.

- 69. The future prospects of the company must be given for at least the current financial year. Assessments of future prospects must be clearly distinguishable from any other information, such as details of the issuer's business strategies, general business aims and the future outlook for the industry concerned. When general assumptions underlying the future prospects are disclosed, the shareholders and potential investors may themselves evaluate the validity of the prospects. In addition to future prospects, an explicit (or implicit) profit or loss forecast may be given.
- 70. While profit forecasts are considered voluntary, issuers should be able to stop making forecasts or to resume such forecasts after having ceased to make them. However, the disclosure policy of profit forecasts and other numeric projections should be consistent from time to time. Thus, issuers are expected to provide an explanation of any changes in disclosure policy when updating the prospectus.
- 71. A common definition of what constitutes a profit forecast is needed, so that companies and shareholders can be sure that the same statement made by the directors of the company will be interpreted in the same way in whichever jurisdiction it is made.
- 72. In accordance with FESCO 01-045 (paragraph III.11) a profit or loss forecast could be defined as a form of words which expressly or by implication states a minimum or maximum for the likely level of profits or losses for the current financial period and/or financial periods subsequent to that, or contains data from which a calculation of an approximate figure for future profits or losses may be made, even if no particular figure is mentioned and the word "profit" is not used. A dividend forecast must be treated as a profit or loss forecast where the company has a known policy of relating dividends to earnings, or has an insufficient level of retained earnings or the forecast otherwise implies a forecast of profit. A profit or loss estimate is also defined as above with a difference that it covers a financial period which has expired but for which the results have not yet been published.

QUESTION

73. Do you have any comments at this stage about this preliminary definition of a profit forecast?

We would suggest including the concept of "materiality". The materiality should have a double application: a) profits or losses forecast not material because insignificant should be not subject to the present regulation, and b) warnings and cautionary language tailored precisely to address the uncertainty concerning the forecasts would render omission or misrepresentations immaterial.

- 74. Estimates concerning future prospects may also be given by disclosing for example the market share, net sales, earnings per share, capital expenditures and other financial figures (e.g. EBITDA). Quite often issuers use this kind of estimates instead of exact profit forecast and investors will have to make their own assessment of the issuer's economic profit based on these various estimates. Obviously, the same qualifications attached to profit forecast should also apply for the other kind of projected items that might be presented in a separate way.
- 75. There are obvious hazards attached to the forecasting of profits for any extended period; this should in no way detract from the necessity of maintaining the highest standards of care in the preparation of such information. Any forecast published by an issuer must not be misleading, false or deceptive nor omit anything likely to affect the import of such forecast. Asset out in FESCO 01-045, it would also be necessary to adopt a common set of disclosure requirements that issuers will have to comply with if they want to include a forecast in an admission or offering prospectus.
- 76. The first requirement refers to the period for which forecasts can be made. Companies should be restricted to making a forecast which is coterminus with its own reporting period. Projections may also easily vary during the given period, following changes in the factors on which they are based. Therefore, a statement of the principal assumptions, for each factor which could have material effect on the achievement of the forecast, is required.
- 77. Also in order to ensure comparability, the profit or any other quantitative forecast should be prepared on a basis comparable with a number reported in its audited financial statements, so as forecast can be easily compared with both historical information and the next set of audited accounts. The disclosure policy of these forecasted items should be consistent. Moreover, in case of disclosure of a non GAAP item (e.g. EBITDA) the company will have to provide the formula employed to reach the figure.
- 78. Moreover, in order to allow a reasoned assessment for the investors, the forecast information should also specify particular risk factors possibly affecting the provided forecast and prospects. The cautions must be specific to each assumption. Such risk factors are for example special matters that typically pertain to the issued security, issuing company or the industry in which the company is operating. This information should be given in accordance with the disclosure requirements set out in CESR reference II.B of Core Equity Building Block (Annex "A").
- 79. In addition, any profit forecast should be accompanied by a statement ensuring that said forecast has been properly prepared on the basis stated and that the basis of accounting is consistent with the accounting policies of the company.

- 80. Contrary to paragraph III.13 of FESCO 01-045, the company's financial advisor (or any external expert accepted by the competent authority) should not be required to report on the forecast or estimate. Even though this kind of independent scrutiny could help to maintain the quality of the information being presented to shareholders, particularly bearing in mind the wide range of subjective judgments made in preparing such forward looking information, it would cause extra costs for the company. While assumptions underlying the forecast are disclosed, the shareholders and potential investors may themselves evaluate the validity of the forecast, and thus an adequate level of investor protection is considered to be reached. Besides, the assumptions supporting the forecasts are exclusively in the hands of the issuer, and accordingly, the level of comfort that an external review could provide would be always limited.
- 81. In order to ensure the highest standards of care in the preparation of such information, CESR deems necessary the involvement of the issuer's management at the top level. For example, profit forecasts and estimates could be reviewed by the management board, Audit Committee or some other board level committee. In addition to that, the company could also voluntarily decide to subject the forecasts and estimates to an outside reviewer.
- 82. If subsequent events or decisions prove the forecasts to be wrong, a listed issuer is obliged to update the information under requirements of regular reporting and ad-hoc disclosure. In addition, if these events or decisions occur before the closure of the offer or the admission to trading, the issuer is obliged to supplement the prospectus in accordance with the Prospectus Directive.
- 83. When the issuer updates its prospectus as provided by the Prospectus Directive, there will have to be a comparison between the forecast and the Actual results of the company.
- 84. Finally, when the issuer has published an ad-hoc profit forecast for a financial period that is not yet complete and subsequently publishes a prospectus it would be possible to require the issuer to repeat or update the forecast in the prospectus.

QUESTIONS

85. Should issuers be required to repeat or update outstanding ad-hoc profit forecasts in the prospectus?

The concept of materiality should be of some guidance also in this case. The issuer shall be required to repeat or update its forecasts only if the variance between the forecast or appraisal figure and the amount achieved is material. The CESR may give some guidance in this respect.

- 86. Do you agree with the disclosure requirements in respect of profit forecasts set out in disclosure requirement CESR reference IV.D.3 (a) and (b) of Core Equity Building Block (Annex "A")?
- 87. Do you agree with the arguments set out regarding mandatory reporting by the company's financial advisor?

We agree that a mandatory reporting by the company's financial advisor would cause extra cost to the company. In addition, company managers generally are capable of predicting future cash flow or other company's information better than even sophisticated securities analysts outside the firm.

Directors and senior management privacy CESR reference V.A of Core Equity Building Block (Annex "A")

88. IOSCO Disclosures VI.A.1-5 propose requirements to provide information about the previous history of directors. Most of CESR members deem it necessary to add some disclosure requirements relating to details of fraudulent offences, previous bankruptcies and/or public criticisms (see Annex A – CESR reference V.A.1 4th subparagraph). There is a balance to be struck between the rights of investors to know details about the senior management of the company in which they are investing and the right of privacy for the senior management. This disclosure requirement, as highlighted by several members of the CWG, seems to be particularly relevant to the case of start up.

QUESTION

89. Do you agree that such information may be material to an investor's decision to invest? Would the provision of such details breach privacy laws in your jurisdiction?

For shareholders, management's experience and integrity are often critical to their investment. We would suggest requiring the disclosure of executives' criminal behavior only if it has resulted in a conviction in the last five years. Generally speaking, a law that provides for disclosure of criminal history of the directors does not breach privacy laws because it is the law itself that requires the directors to disclose that information. We would not limit the criminal history of the directors to bankruptcy proceedings. Investors may be interested to know other criminal sanctions including corruption or briberies.

Finally, we would suggest to require disclosure only for those criminal behaviours that have a relation and a connection with business activities, considering not relevant other kind of crimes that are not material to investors decisions and do not influence the integrity of the management in its business activity.

Controlling shareholders CESR reference VI.A.2 of Core Equity Building Block (Annex"A")

90. Companies may issue shares to other investors when there is a shareholder who effectively controls the company. This situation could be dealt with by simple disclosure of that fact. Investors then know what they are investing in and cannot be surprised if that controlling shareholder takes action which they do not agree with but can do nothing about. Alternatively, the company could be required to disclose what measures had been taken to limit the degree of control operated by the controlling shareholder, or disclose that there are no such measures in place.

QUESTION

91. Do you think that the additional disclosures of any limiting measures should be required?

We think that the disclosure of any limiting measures should be required. Depending on the identity of the controlling shareholder, investors may be interested to know the degree of control operated by the controlling shareholder.

Documents on Display

92. There has been a different approach between CESR members to the requirement to put documents on display. Some believed that the list of documents set out in paragraph 3.1 of Chapter III, Schedule A of the Directive 2001/34/EC limited the scope of paragraph 3.1.5 to the same type of documents. Others had interpreted this to mean all documents concerning the issuer that were referred to in the listing particulars should be put on display.

If these documents contained commercial information, those competent authorities would allow these details to be excluded or hidden.

QUESTION

93. Do you feel that issuers should be required to put on display all documents referred to in the prospectus (as set out in CESR reference VIII in Annex A)?

The requirement of putting on display material contracts could cause a problem for companies. There are mainly three problems: 1) third parties to the contracts may not want to disclose terms and conditions of a particular contract; 2) for strategic/commercial reasons, the issuer may not want to disclose to competitors terms and conditions of a material contract; 3) the duty to display material contract may prevent companies from going public.

On the other hand, investors may be interested to know how the business of the company depends on particular contracts.

We believe that the interest of the investor to know terms and conditions of material contracts may be limited to those contracts that represent a

risk factor (for example, in case the issuer's turnover depends for more than 30-40% from a particular contract).

We, therefore, suggest that: 1) the securities regulator may inquiry about material contracts and eventually request the company to show them to the regulator on a confidential basis during the preliminary investigation; 2) the issuer shall describe the content of particular material contract in the risk factor section of the registration document, in case they amount to a risk factor; 3) material contracts shall be not put on display.

Would this cause problems due to privacy laws or practical problems as a result of having to review lots of documents for commercial information?

Generally speaking, a law that provides for disclosure of material contracts does not breach privacy laws because it would be the law itself that requires the issuer to put on display those contracts. At any rate, we would suggest that material contracts shall be not put on display.

The securities regulator shall not review all of the material contracts of the issuer. During the preliminary investigation, the securities regulator shall only examine those contracts submitted by the issuer that potentially represent a risk factor for the investor.

Specialist Building Blocks

94. CESR was under an obligation to reflect the "different categories of issuers, investors and markets" and in particular disclosures relevant for start-up companies and Small and Medium sized Entities (SMEs). CESR has therefore considered specialist building blocks for the registration documents of Start-up companies, SMEs, Property Companies, Mineral Companies, Investment Companies and Scientific Research Based companies. Other specialist building blocks may be considered such as those for shipping companies.

QUESTIONS

95. Do you believe that the building blocks in Annexes D, E, F, G and H are appropriate as minimum disclosure standards?

We believe that they are appropriate as minimum disclosure standards. However, the securities regulator should have the discretionary power to request the issuer to provide in the prospectus additional information or to authorize the issuer to provide less information, depending on the business of the company.

96. What other specialist building blocks (if any) should CESR consider producing in the future?

The building blocks should not be many. We believe that a few building blocks well done coupled with a discretionary power of the securities

regulator as to the information to be disclosed in the prospectus are more flexible instruments for the market operators than an indefinite number of rigid building blocks for each kind of business.

Start-up Companies

- 97. In the case of an issuer without a three year trading record in the sphere of the actual economic activity conducted by the company, the registration document should meet the disclosure requirements set out in the Core Equity building block. However, these disclosure requirements should be amended in accordance with the following paragraph. In addition, such an issuer should provide the additional disclosures set out in the specific building block for start-up companies shown in Annex "C".
- 98. If the issuer has existed as an enterprise for less than three years, the CESR Core Equity building block requirements, III.C (Business overview), IV.C (Research and Development, Patents and Licenses etc.), V.D (Employees), VII.A (Related Party Transactions), VI.B (Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information), VIII.A.7 (History of share capital), VIII.C (Material Contracts) shall be given for the period of its existence, rather than for three years.
- 99. CESR also considers that there will be risk factors that will need to be disclosed which are specific to this type of issuer. For example, an indication of the name of any key qualified executive/employee/advisor which is considered necessary by the company to carry out its strategy of development of its business.

QUESTIONS

100. Do you agree with the specific disclosure requirements set out in the building block for start-up companies?

We agree with the specific disclosure requirements. However, the securities regulator should have the discretionary power to request the issuer to provide in the prospectus additional information or to authorize the issuer to provide less information, depending on the particular situation of the company and its business.

101. Do you feel that additional disclosure requirements should be included, for example, an independent expert opinion on the products and business plan?

An independent expert opinion on the products and business plan may be not appropriate. The reasons for that are mainly four: 1) We understand that the securities regulator shall not review the business plan of the company and, therefore, it may be not appropriate that the issuer shall submit to the securities regulator the business plan together with an expert opinion; 2) the company may not want to disclose to the public/put on display its business plan; 3) the expert opinion is an additional cost for the issuer; 4) it is not clear who is going to bear the responsibility (issuer,

independent expert, both?) in case of misleading/inaccurate business plan eventually disclosed to the public.

102. Do you feel that disclosure of restrictions regarding holdings by directors and senior management etc should be applied to all companies through the core building block? Or should this only be required for all companies where there are such restrictions?

We feel that there should be full disclosure on restrictions regarding holdings by directors and senior management. In fact, the existence of such restrictions may affect the price of the securities on the exchange.

SMEs

- 103. CESR considered the position of SMEs in relation to the disclosure requirements for the registration document, according to the provision of article 7, paragraph 1, letter (e) of the Commission's amended proposal for the Prospectus Directive that invites CESR to take account of the size of the issuer when developing the different models of prospectus. A number of the disclosure requirements contained in the Core Equity building block were identified as potentially burdensome for SMEs. However, the CESR Core Equity building block requirements on occasion have a reference to materiality. In particular, disclosures II.B, IIIC.4, III.C.5, III.C.6 refer to materiality and if this information is not material for an SME then it will not need to be supplied or perhaps only partly supplied. Bearing this in mind, CESR considers that with the possibility of one exception, there should be no specific disclosure model for SMEs in relation to the registration document.
- 104. Some CESR members thought that the costs of providing selected financial data for three years imposed an unreasonable burden on SMEs. They felt that this could be reduced to two years. Several members of CWG expressed the view that there is no need for a special disclosure regime for SME's if they are admitted to trading on a regulated market.

QUESTIONS

- 105. Do you believe that SMEs should only be required to provide details for two years under disclosure requirement II.A?
- 106. If so, do you believe that all historical information should be restricted to this two year period?
- 107. Bearing in mind the materiality tests in the disclosure requirements contained in the Core Equity building block, if you believe that there should be some specific disclosure requirements for registration documents for SMEs, please list them.

We believe that there should be no specific disclosure model for SMEs in relation to the registration document. Moreover, the reference to

materiality in the Core Equity Building Block should offer sufficient flexibility to the securities regulator on disclosure requirements.

If the CESR decides for specific disclosure requirements for SMEs, it will be necessary to create a specific regulated market for SMEs in each EU nation, or a segment of the same regulated market.

Property Companies

- 108. CESR felt that property companies gave rise to issues that required a specific building block. For these purposes a property company would be defined as: "a company primarily engaged in property activities including the holding of properties, both directly and indirectly and development of properties for letting and retention as investments, the purchase or development of properties for subsequent sale or the purchase of land for development of properties for retention as investments. "Property" means freehold, heritable or leasehold property or any equivalent".
- 109. CESR considered that the prospectus for a property company would not provide all the information necessary for investors to make an informed investment decision if the prospectus did not include a valuation report. The requirements in respect of the valuation report are set out in Annex "D".
- 110. However, CESR also considered when such a valuation report would be of most use to investors. CESR concluded that it would be of most use to investors when securities were being issued. On the assumption that companies will generally prepare their registration documents at the same time as their annual accounts, there seemed no compelling reason to provide valuation reports in addition to the annual accounts. Therefore CESR considers it appropriate for such valuation reports to form part of the securities note for property companies.

QUESTIONS

- 111. Do you agree that valuation reports as set out in Annex D should be required for property companies?
- 112. Do you consider it appropriate that the date of valuation must not be more than 42 days prior to the date of publication?

We would suggest to put no dead line for the date of valuation. If such information is considered relevant for investors, the imposition of a strict deadline to present valuation reports may leave out from the prospectus transactions completed few days after the "42 days" and before the publication of the prospectus.

113. Do you agree that it would be more appropriate for such reports to be required when securities are being issued by a property company and hence should form part of the securities note?

In our opinion the question is whether should be required a specific building block for property companies. The building blocks should not be many. We believe that a discretionary power of the securities regulator as to the information to be disclosed in the prospectus are more flexible instruments for the market operators than an indefinite number of rigid building blocks for each kind of business.

Mineral Companies

- 114. Mineral companies can give rise to specific issues that would not be sufficiently explained in the disclosures required in the Core Equity building block. CESR has therefore produced a specialist building block for these companies. For the purposes of this building block, a mineral company is: "a company whose principal activity is, or is planned to be, the extraction of mineral resources. Companies that are involved only in exploration for mineral resources and are not undertaking or proposing to undertake their extraction on a commercial scale would not be classed as mineral companies".
- 115. For similar reasons as those relating to property companies, CESR believes that an expert's report should be required in relation to mineral companies that have not been operating for at least three years. After that time the company will have sufficient trading history available that investors will not have to rely upon the sort of information that would otherwise be contained in an expert report. However, this report would also be of most use to investors at the time securities were being issued. CESR has therefore prepared two specialist building blocks in relation to mineral companies; one for the registration document (Annex "E") and one for the securities note (Annex "F").

QUESTIONS

116. Do you agree that expert reports should be required for mineral companies?

Do you agree that it would be more appropriate for such reports to be required when securities are being issued by a mineral company and hence should form part of the securities note?

117. Do you agree with the disclosure requirements in registration documents for mineral companies set out in Annex "E"?

We agree with the specific disclosure requirements. However, the securities regulator should have the discretionary power to request the issuer to provide in the prospectus additional information or to authorize the issuer to provide less information,

Investment Companies

118. CESR has considered the situation of investment companies. CESR has concluded that there should be a specialist building block for such

companies. For the purposes of this building block an investment company is: "a company (which is not an open-ended investment company) whose object is to invest its funds wholly or mainly in investments with the object of spreading investment risk. Investments include shares or stock in the share capital of a company (excluding an open-ended investment company), instruments creating indebtedness such as debentures and government bonds, warrants, options, futures, contracts for differences and certificates representing securities".

119. The specialist building block setting out the additional disclosure requirements over and above the Core Equity building block is shown in Annex "G".

QUESTION

120. Do you agree with the disclosure requirements in registration documents for investment companies set out in Annex "G"?

We agree with the specific disclosure requirements. However, the securities regulator should have the discretionary power to request the issuer to provide in the prospectus additional information or to authorize the issuer to provide less information.

Scientific Research Based Companies

121. Scientific research based companies present novel features that CESR considers cannot be adequately captured by the Core Equity building block

For the purposes of this building block, scientific research based companies are: "companies which are primarily involved in the laboratory research and development of chemical or biological products or processes, including pharmaceutical companies and those involved in the areas of diagnostics, agriculture and food".

122. The specialist building block setting out the additional disclosure requirements over and above the Core Equity building block is shown in Annex "H".

QUESTION

123. Do you agree with the disclosure requirements in registration documents for scientific research based companies set out in Annex "H"?

We agree with the specific disclosure requirements. However, the securities regulator should have the discretionary power to request the issuer to provide in the prospectus additional information or to authorize the issuer to provide less information.

DEBT SECURITIES

Introduction

- 124 CESR envisages that a registration document for equity that already exists could be used by the issuer to meet its disclosure obligations in relation to an issue of other securities including debt securities. Despite this, CESR decided to approach the question of disclosure requirements for debt securities from first principles. In general, the interests of investors in equity and the interests of investors in debt securities will have different focuses. The investor in equity will be more interested in the income stream from the shares and the capital growth of the company (and hence the value of the shares). An investor in debt securities will be primarily interested in the risk that the income stream and/or the capital will not be repaid. Greater capital growth may reduce the risk of default, but will not necessarily increase the return to the investor. These investor interests are likely to be most closely aligned when the issuer of the debt security is also an equity issuer. CESR decided to start its work by considering the disclosure requirements for corporate retail debt securities (as defined in the following paragraphs).
- 125. This choice was also partly driven by the disclosure requirements already developed elsewhere. The IOSCO Disclosure standards apply to equity securities. The published FESCO proposal related to retail debt. It was thought possible that the disclosure requirements for issuers of such securities would be very similar, or even identical, to the disclosure requirements for an issuer of equity.
- 126. In any event the disclosure requirements for such securities would represent the "high-water" mark for disclosure requirements for debt issuers. Debt securities aimed at wholesale market investors (see article 7, paragraph 1, letter (b) of the Commission's amended proposal for the Prospectus directive) and those issued by special purpose vehicles may require different detailed disclosure requirements to those of corporate retail debt.
- 127. The disclosure requirements for these other types of debt securities and issuers will be published for consultation at a later date. Likewise structured debt instruments such as asset backed securities, mortgage backed securities and other types of securitisations and convertible bonds will be covered in the next consultation.
- 128. It should also be noted that this consultation paper does not address the disclosure requirements for the base prospectus which is now a feature of the amended version of the Prospectus Directive.

QUESTION

129. Do you consider that the disclosure requirements for debt securities should be identical to those for equity, as set out in Annex A?

Debt securities have a few unique and independent characteristics, which can differ greatly from equity securities. Investors that are interested to buy debt securities have information needs depending on the risk profile

of the security itself. For example, investors in debt securities must consider certain cash flow risks such as the uncertainty of the timing of principal cash flows or the tax status of their holdings. In other words, the disclosure requirements for debt securities should provide the investor with the necessary information to adequately assess the overall risk characteristics of these investments.

Definition of corporate retail debt

130. There are many different types of instrument that fall within the definition of "debt". For the purpose of this consultation paper, references to corporate retail debt should be construed as relating to instruments where : "The security is aimed at both retail and wholesale investors and the issuer has an obligation arising on issue to pay the investor 100% of the investor's capital "the capital return element", in addition to which there may also be an interest payment."

The disclosure requirements for corporate retail debt

131. The detailed disclosure requirements for retail corporate debt are set out in Annex "I", CESR sets out below a discussion about some of these areas of disclosure.

Disclosure about the advisers of the issuer – CESR disclosure ref: I.B (Corporate Retail Debt Building Block)

- 132. The IOSCO disclosure standard about the company's principal advisers, has in Annex "I" been duplicated for the corporate retail debt registration document disclosure requirements. As can be seen, this disclosure requirement requires disclosure about the company's principal bankers and legal advisers to the extent that the company has a continuing relationship with such entities.
- 133. Although CESR considers that such disclosure is relevant for the purposes of an investor in the company's equity, CESR has debated the relevance of this level of disclosure about the company's bankers and legal advisers for the purposes of making an investment decision about corporate retail debt. Regardless of who these bankers or advisers are, the investor is making an investment decision about the issuer's solvency and as such its ability to repay its obligation to the investor.

QUESTIONS

134. Do you consider disclosure about the issuer's bankers and legal advisers to the extent that the company has a continuing relationship with such entities to be relevant for corporate retail debt?

We think that for all categories of securities the advisers of the issuer do not have to be mentioned in the prospectus unless there is a conflict of interest.

135. Do you consider that disclosure relating to the bankers and legal advisers who were involved in the issue of that particular debt instrument to be relevant?

We think that for all categories of securities the advisers of the Issuer do not have to be mentioned in the prospectus unless there is a conflict of interest.

This especially applies for the legal advisers of the issuer, as such advisers under the present legislation in (according to our knowledge) all jurisdictions of the member states do not assume any liability directly visàvis the investors. If the names of the advisers were mentioned it could be that some jurisdictions (e.g. Germany) imposed a liability on the advisers due to the fact that their names have been mentioned in the prospectus. Such additional liability would unreasonably increase the issuing costs for securities as the legal advisers will pass on the costs for assuming such increased liability risk to the issuers and consequently to the investor of the respective securities.

In case of conflict of interest, we would suggest to mention it in the risk factor section of the prospectus.

History of the company's investments – CESR ref: III.B (Corporate Retail Debt Building Block)

136. As can be seen from Annex "I", the nature and extent of a company's past, current and future investments in other undertakings is a proposed disclosure requirement for corporate retail debt. Although CESR considers that such disclosure is relevant for the purposes of an investor making an investment decision about whether or not to invest in the company's equity, CESR has debated the relevance of this disclosure for an investor making an investment decision about investing in the debt of the company.

QUESTIONS

137. Do you consider disclosure about a company's past investments in other undertakings to be material for an investor to make an investment decision about investing in the company's debt?

The disclosure of that information may be material or not depending on the figures at stake.

138. Do you consider that disclosure about a company's current investments in other undertakings to be material for an investor to make an investment decision about investing in the company's debt?

The disclosure of that information may be material or not depending on the figures at stake.

139. Do you consider that disclosure about a company's future investments in other undertakings to be material for an investor to make an investment decision about investing in the company's debt?

The disclosure of that information may be material or not depending on the figures at stake.

Operating results, Liquidity and capital resources – IOSCO ref V.A and V.B

- 140. CESR has considered whether holders of retail debt need to receive all the disclosures provided under the above headings by the Core Equity Registration Building Block. The outcome of this consideration has been that only certain of such disclosures are deemed appropriate for the Corporate Retail Debt Registration building Block, as set out in this document.
- 141. These differences reflect the different interests that investors in the company as shareholders have from those of investors in debt securities issued by the company.

QUESTION

142. Do you agree that these different interests should be reflected by different disclosure standards and in particular that retail bondholders do not need the same disclosures as shareholders in respect of these sections of the IOSCO IDS?

Age of the latest accounts – CESR ref: VII.H.1 (Corporate Retail Debt Building Block)

- 143. The disclosure requirement set out in Annex "I" stipulates when the company is to include interim financial statements in the registration document.
- 144. In relation to this disclosure requirement, CESR has debated as to whether or not it is a useful and necessary requirement to stipulate in detail as set out in VII.H.2 of the CESR Core Equity building block what the nature and content of these interim financial statements should be.

QUESTIONS

145. Do you consider it necessary for a disclosure requirement that stipulates when interim financial statements should be disclosed in the registration document, to also stipulate what the form and content of these statements should be?

It may be advisable to provide for the minimum content of those statements.

146. If you consider that the reduced level of detail is more appropriate, should the same approach be taken for equity?

Documents on display - IOSCO Ref X.H

147. As mentioned in respect of equities, there have in the past been different interpretations of the existing directive requirements that set out which documents concerning the issuer which are referred to in listing particulars should be put on display for inspection.

QUESTIONS

148. Do you feel that issuers should be required to put on display all documents referred to in the prospectus (as set out in CESR reference VIII in Annex A)?

Would this cause problems due to privacy laws or practical problems as a result of having to review lots of documents for commercial information?

Please, see answer to Question 93.

- 149. On review of the list of documents set out CESR ref VIII.E of the corporate retail debt building block in Annex "I", please advise with reasons:
 - (1) Whether or not there are any documents that are listed that you consider do not need to be put on display?

We would not put on display documents mentioned in paragraph VIII.C (material contracts).

- (2) Whether or not there are any documents that are not listed that should be put on display?
- 150. Please give views on which if any of the documents that are not in the language of the country in which the public offeror admission to trading is being sought should be translated.

Our view is that should be translated the financial information, memorandum and articles of association of the issuer.

Additional information – IOSCO Ref: - X.I.

151. In relation to IOSCO disclosure standard X.I (and paragraph 18 of Part II), which sets out the disclosure requirements that the company needs to make about its subsidiaries, the equivalent directive provisions that allow the competent authority to decide whether or not such disclosure needs

to be provided on a case by case basis has in the past been used in different ways by different competent authorities. In the time available, CESR has found it difficult to reach a consensus as to what the nature of the disclosure requirements about the company's subsidiaries should be for debt securities.

152. For this reason, the retail corporate debt schedule does not set out any disclosure requirements for this IOSCO disclosure standard. CESR will do further work on what disclosures should be made. However, CESR would be interested in any views from others at this stage about these disclosures.

QUESTIONS

- 153. On a review of the equity disclosure requirements (CESR ref VIII.G of the Core Equity Building Block) set out in Annex "A", please advise which if any of these requirements you consider to be relevant for retail corporate debt. Please give your reasons.
- 154. Do you agree with the CESR disclosure proposals for corporate retail debt as set out in Annex "I"?
- 155. Please advise which if any items of disclosure should not be required for corporate retail debt. Please give you reasons.
- 156. Please advise if there are any items of disclosure for corporate retail debt that are not set out in the schedule, but should be. Please give your reasons.

DERIVATIVE SECURITIES

Introduction

- 157. The third category of securities that the Provisional Request for technical advice makes reference to is potentially very broad. CESR has therefore classified the third category of securities as derivative securities.
- 158. It has not yet been determined whether or not there is a need to have a separate registration document for derivative products. Due to the time scale within which this work needs to be completed CESR thought it would be useful to give some indication of its thinking in this area. This part of the consultation paper sets out a discussion about the possible terms of reference for future work on the contents of possible building block disclosure requirements for the registration document relating to these securities.
- 159. A further discussion about the registration document requirements for derivatives will be set out in the next consultation.

QUESTION

160. Do you consider it necessary to have specific derivative registration document requirements, or do you consider this unnecessary as the registration document requirements for debt securities should be used for derivative securities as well? Please give your reasons.

We think it is advisable to have a specific derivative registration document as the disclosure requirements with respect to the issuer of derivative securities are much lower than for the issuer of equity or debt securities. (see details below in No.:217 -226)

Types of securities that are covered by the word "derivative"

- 161. The starting point in establishing what CESR's advice should be for these products is to establish what derivative securities are.
- 162. The directives being replaced by the Prospectus Directive did not deal with the prospectus disclosures required for these type of securities. So there has been no common definition as to what is meant by the use of the word "derivative", or what the fundamental features of these securities are.
- 163. The market has developed a number of different products and names for these products. For example, "covered warrants" "certificates" "reverse convertible notes", all of which have certain particular features but these descriptions are not definitive and the nature of the instrument may vary depending on how the issuer structures that product and the term the issuer uses to describe it.
- 164. The derivatives market is an innovative market where new products are developed on an ongoing basis. As such, CESR's advice needs to be applicable to not only existing products but also to new products in this market, preferably without the need to change the definition set out in Level 2.
- 165. In order to ensure that irrespective of the names currently used to describe these instruments and to ensure that the advise is applicable to future products, CESR recommends that some form of definition is set out. Two possible approaches have been discussed and are set out below.
- 166. The first approach is to include a broad definition of such products, although this approach does have the risk of catching other categories of securities.

Such a definition might be:

Derivative are securities which comprise forward transactions in the form of firm transactions or options transactions whose value/price directly or indirectly depends on

- a) the exchange or market price of securities
- b) the exchange or market price of money market instruments

- c) interest rates or other returns
- d) the exchange or market price of goods or precious metals, or
- e) the forward exchange rates or units of account
- 167. The second approach would be to set out the fundamental features of these products so that, irrespective of what a security is called if it contains the features set out below it is classifiable as a derivative security. This classification would then determine the appropriate disclosure obligations for the security.
- 168. CESR's preliminary views on these fundamental features are:
 - 1) The product derives its value from and is linked to some other product, the "underlying instrument".
 - 2) The issuer of the underlying instrument is either :
 - a) a third party and is not the issuer of the underlying instrument to which the derivative is linked;
 - b) the same as the issuer of the derivative security, where the security is not issued for the purposes of raising capital.
 - 3) There is some form of payment payable by the investor to the issuer of the instrument upon which the investor may be entitled or obliged to:
 - a) buy an underlying instrument or instruments at a predetermined price (whether numerical or ascertained by formula) from the issuer;
 - b) sell an underlying instrument or instruments to the issuer at a predetermined price (whether numerical or ascertained by formula);
 - c) receive a cash payment from the issuer calculated with reference to the performance of an underlying instrument or instruments.

The investor's entitlement or obligation may involve any combination of a)-(c) above.

- 4) The instrument will:
- a) give the investor rights normally in the form of exercise rights, or
- b) give the investor an absolute entitlement or obligation under paragraph 3 above, or
- c) give the issuer the discretion to determine how it fulfils its obligations to the investor arising under paragraph 3 above.
- 5) The investor's return is either:
- a) wholly dependant upon the performance of the underlying instrument to which the product is linked; or
- b) the investor will receive some form of return from the issuer irrespective of how the underlying instrument performs and the

investor may also receive an additional return that is dependent upon the performance of the underlying instrument

- 6) In addition to the above fundamental features the instrument may have trigger characteristics relating to the performance of the underlying instrument for example- caps, floors, knock in and knock out features that determines whether the issuer has any obligations to the investor.
- 169. With reference to point 2 of the previous paragraph, instruments that derive their value from underlying instruments where the issuer is the same as the issuer of the underlying instrument and the purpose of the issuer is to raise capital for example when a company issues subscription warrants over its own shares are not considered by CESR to fall within this third category of derivative securities. They would fall into either the debt or equity categories of instruments depending upon the nature of the underlying instrument. So, for example, if a company issued a derivative product over its own bonds for the purpose of raising capital, this would be deemed to be a form of convertible bond and thus debt security disclosures would be more appropriate.

QUESTIONS

170. Do you think it is useful to provide some form of definition for these securities?

Yes.

171. If so, which of the two approaches set out above do you prefer? Please give your reasons.

The second approach in No. 167 should apply in order to avoid definitions which could turn out to be too restrictive and therefore could reduce the flexibility and innovative power of the market for derivative securities. However, the description of the fundamental features could be reduced to one statement as described below (see answer to question 173).

172. If you prefer the approach based on a wide definition of derivatives, do you have any comments on the proposed definition?

Not applicable.

173. If you prefer the approach based on fundamental features, are there other features that should be but are not included in the above list?

The only fundamental feature should be that derivative securities derives its value from a reference to the performance of an underlying as described in the terms and conditions of the respective derivative securities.

The definition of derivative securities could read as follows:

"Derivative securities are securities where the payment/delivery obligations of the issuer as determined in the terms and conditions of such derivative securities are linked to an underlying"

It is unclear whether the features described in No. 2) to 6) are meant to apply cumulatively or alternatively. Furthermore, the features described may be unnecessary and, in a number of cases, redundant. For example:

- the first sentence in 3) may be misleading. Derivative securities comprise certain obligations of the issuer and do not impose any obligations on the investor;
- the features described in 3 a) c) do not provide any added value to the feature described in 1);
- the feature in 4 a) may be only applicable to warrants which represent only a part of the derivative securities. Therefore, to say that "The instrument will give the investor rights - normally in the form of exercise rights..." is incorrect.
- the feature in 4 b) may be misleading as all securities give an entitlement to the investors. On the other hand, derivative securities do not include any obligations of the investors;
- the feature in 5 a) is a repetition of what is said in 1);
- the feature in 5 b) is not strictly necessary as the performance of the described securities still depends on the value of the underlying instrument. There is no reason for a differentiation between derivative securities which partly guarantee a certain return and derivative securities where the investment is totally at risk. This is a question of proper risk warnings which are in any case in the interest of the issuer;
- the feature in 6) would not be necessary to qualify securities as derivative securities.

Broad categorization of derivative products in a building block approach

- 173. Any registration document building blocks that CESR may consider necessary to develop, need to be capable of covering a highly structured product range, where the issuer needs only to change the combination of fundamental features discussed above in order to create a new product.
- 174. As referred to before, the registration document contains the information about the issuer. The possible different registration document building blocks will need to reflect the different types of information that an

investor needs about an issuer of the derivative instrument, in order to make an informed investment decision.

- 175. As a starting point in creating possible registration document building block requirements, CESR has categorised these products into two possible core registration document building blocks. These building blocks reflect the two sub- categories of these products:
 - (a) those products where the investor's return is wholly dependant upon the performance of the underlying instrument to which the product is linked. These types of derivatives can be described for the purposes of this consultation as "non guaranteed return derivatives"; and
 - (b) those products where the investor will receive some form of return from the issuer irrespective of how the underlying instrument performs. The investor may also receive an additional return that is dependent upon the performance of the underlying instrument. These types of derivatives can be described for the purposes of this consultation as "guaranteed return derivatives".
- 176. Please note that the use of the word "guaranteed" in this context is not intended to mean that there is any third party guaranteeing any part of the return to the investor.
- 177. Irrespective of how the issuer structures a derivative product, all derivative products will fall into one of these two categories. The distinction between these two groups of derivative products could be important because the information that an investor requires about the issuer of these products in order to make an investment decision about investing in a non-guaranteed derivative product could be different to that information required to make an investment decision about investing in a guaranteed derivative product.

QUESTIONS

179. Do you agree with the above broad sub-categorization of derivative products?

Although we are in favour of three types of registration documents one for equity securities, one for debt securities and one for derivative securities, we disagree with the sub-categorisation into guaranteed and non-guaranteed return derivative securities.

There is no need for the sub-categorisation. In both cases the issuer is liable for the fulfilment of the obligations under the derivative securities. Consequently, the investor is at risk that the issuer might not be able to meet its obligations under the derivative securities. In this connection it is of no relevance whether this risk exists with respect to the fulfilment of the guaranteed or the non-guaranteed obligation of the issuer.

Example: The purchaser of a deep in-the-money call warrant is at risk that the issuer of such warrant will not fulfil its payment obligation upon expiry. If the same warrant would guarantee a minimum payment there would be no reason for the guaranteed product to require more or different information on the issuer as in the case of the non-guaranteed product.

In addition, a "guaranteed derivative" may be a misleading definition of that security because that definition may mislead the investor as to the risk involved in buying that particular derivative security.

180. Do you agree with the approach of having two distinct registration document building blocks to reflect this sub-categorization?

We disagree with the approach of having two distinct registration document building blocks for guaranteed and non-guaranteed return derivatives (see our comment to 179).

Non guaranteed return derivatives

- 181. "Non guaranteed return derivatives" offer the investors the opportunity to take a view on the way that an underlying instrument or instruments will perform over time.
- 182. An investors return is wholly dependent upon the performance of the underlying instrument to which the derivative is linked, and the investor is making an investment decision about the product on the basis of the underlying instrument and how the investor thinks it will perform in the future.
- 183. An investor needs to be able to make an assessment of the issuer's ability to fulfill its obligations under the terms of the products. But, whether or not the issuer has to fulfill any obligations to the investor for these types of derivative products is solely dependant upon the performance of the underlying instrument over time. The disclosure requirements in the registration document should reflect these aspects of the security.

Guaranteed return derivatives

3. "Guaranteed return derivatives" are securities, where irrespective of the performance of the underlying instrument to which the derivative is linked, the issuer is obliged to make at least some form of return to the investor. Thus the assessment about the ability of the issuer to fulfill its obligations becomes more important than is the case for non guaranteed derivatives. Hence, more information about the issuer and its ability to fulfill its obligations should be disclosed in the registration document for guaranteed derivative securities.

QUESTION

185. Do you agree that the nature of the decision that an investor is making about the issuer in the case of a non guaranteed derivative is different to the one an investor is making in the case of a guaranteed derivative? Please give your reasons.

We disagree. See arguments in 179.

The nature of the disclosure requirements that should be required in the registration document for derivative securities

- 186. On the assumption that derivative securities require a specific Registration Document and can be divided into the two broad subcategories explained above, at this stage, CESR discussed the possibility that the non guaranteed derivative building block should be the core derivative registration document building block. This building block would then apply to all derivative products. The guaranteed return derivative building block would need only consist of disclosures about the issuer that reflects the more critical assessment about the issuer of the instrument that the investor is required to make.
- 187. It has not been possible in the time available to establish what the detailed disclosure requirements for the possible derivative registration document building blocks could be. As such CESR sets out below a discussion regarding the broad areas of the IOSCO disclosure standards that may or may not be applicable for these instruments, Annex "J" sets out the IOSCO disclosure requirements in full for ease of reference.

Directors and senior management- IOSCO ref: I.A

- 188. CESR considers that disclosure about the directors of the issuer is relevant disclosure for these products, but questions the appropriateness of requiring information about the issuer's senior management to be disclosed, as this information may not be useful in facilitating an investor's assessment of the issuer's ability to fulfill its obligations to it.
- 189. In addition, CESR considers that a statement regarding who is taking responsibility for the information contained in the registration document is relevant; and is an appropriate disclosure requirement for these products.

QUESTION

190. Do you consider that disclosure about the issuer's senior management, as set out in IOSCO reference I.A, is relevant for these products? Please give your reasons.

The term "senior management" requires a clarification because that term may have a different meaning depending on the applicable national law. The personal liability of any senior manager of the issuer may be not appropriate and, in this respect, there is not added value for the investors in disclosing the senior managers in the registration document.

We would suggest limiting the disclosure to the members of the board of directors of the issuer and/or to the people having a legal liability for the issuer, depending on the applicable national law.

Advisers-IOSCO ref I.B

191. CESR questions the appropriateness of requiring disclosure about the issuers advisers for these products in facilitating an investor's assessment of the issuer's ability to fulfill its obligations to it.

QUESTION

192. Do you consider disclosure about the issuer's advisers, as set out in IOSCO reference I.B, to be relevant for these products? Please give your reasons.

We do not consider the disclosure of the advisers a relevant information for the investor. The registration document has to enable the investor to obtain a clear understanding of the financial situation of the issuer. This will normally include audited financial statements of the issuer. Any further information on other advisors of the issuer will not result in any added value for the investor in evaluating the financial situation of the issuer unless there is a conflict of interest (see also our arguments for question 135).

Risk factors - IOSCO ref IIID

- 193. The detailed illustrative list approach has already been rejected for the equity disclosure requirements. It has already been proposed that CESR guidance on the type of risk factors that might be disclosed would be more appropriate. Following this approach the sort of risk factors that might be advised could include:
 - (a) The risks that relate to the issuer's ability to meet its obligations to the investor in terms of delivering the underlying instrument to which the derivative is linked or making a payment of cash and;
 - (b) Those risks that affect the value and trading price of the derivative itself, which relate to the nature of the underlying instrument itself.
- 194. In addition, the nature of these risks should be set out in the specific risk factor section, with a risk warning on the front page highlighting the purchasing of these instruments involves risks, with a cross reference to the page where the risks are discussed in detail.

QUESTIONS

195. Do you have any views at this stage about CESR's provisional guidance in this area?

The specific risk connected with the issuer's ability to meet its obligations under the derivative securities should be possible on the basis of the description of the financial situation of the issuer at the time of issuing the derivative securities.

The specific risks connected with the structure of the derivative securities will be described in "a risk warning section" in the prospectus of the derivative securities. As it is in the best interest of the respective issuers of derivative securities to include risk warnings in the prospectus which cover all possible risks connected with the derivative securities there is no further need to regulate the content of the risk warning section in the prospectus.

Implementing measures for the Prospectus Directive should not have an over-regulative character. Any provision requiring cross-references in a prospectus seem to result in such an over-regulation. However, in case of risk warning on the front page, a cross reference may be appropriate because the investor should know that the risks are not only those on the front page but also those explained in the specific section of the prospectus.

196. Are there any other sections of Key information section at section III of IOSCO that you deem as being relevant disclosure for these products? Please give your reasons.

No. It is sufficiently detailed.

197. Are there any sections of key information section at section III of IOSCO you consider superfluous as regards the disclosure of these products? Please give your reasons.

Historical financial data for the two most recent financial years should be sufficient at least for banking institutions under the supervision of a banking regulatory authority.

History and development of the company –IOSCO ref IV A.

198. CESR considers that information about the issuer of the derivative is relevant for these products, but questions the appropriateness of requiring the level of detail as set out in IOSCO disclosure standard IV.A for these instruments as the investor is not investing in the company in the same way as a shareholder, and as such this information may not assist an investor in making an investment decision as to whether or not to buy the derivative instrument that the issuing company is selling.

QUESTIONS

199. Do you consider the level of detail set out in IOSCO disclosure standard IV.A to be inappropriate for these products? Please give your reasons.

Please see comments in relation to question 200.

200. Which particular items of IOSCO disclosure in this section do you consider to be relevant for these products? Please give your reasons

The information required in IV.A.1. - 3. seems to be appropriate information provided by the issuer of derivative securities.

The information in IV.A.4. should only be required if the described events occurred during the last two years or at the time of the issue of the derivative securities are material for the issue. A detailed description of the history of the issuer should not be required as it is of no interest for the investor when evaluating the possibilities of the issuer to fulfil its obligations under the derivative securities.

Unlike in the case of an investment in the *equity* of the issuer, the information in IV.A.5. - 7. does not have to be disclosed in case of issuer of derivative securities as the described events do not have any direct impact on the issuer's ability to fulfil its obligations under the derivative securities.

Business overview – IOSCO ref I.V.B.

201. CESR questions the appropriateness of requiring the level of detail about the issuer's business as set out in IOSCO disclosure standard IV.B for products where the investor is not investing in the issuer.

QUESTIONS

202. Do you consider that a general description of what the issuer's principal activities are is a more appropriate level of disclosure for these products?

Please give your reasons.

As the purchaser of a derivative securities is not making an investment in the equity of the issuer, a very general description of the issuer's principal activities is sufficient.

- 203. Please advise what, if any, other items of Section IV.B of IOSCO you consider to be of relevance for these products. Please give your reasons.
 - IV.B.1. It should be limited to the past financial year (instead of the last three financial years) because the history of the issuer is not of major relevance for an investor of derivative securities. It should be at the discretion of the issuer to mention those events material for the investor that happened during the previous three years period.

- IV.B.2. It should be limited to the description of the principal markets in which the issuer competes. The breakdown should be deleted.
- IV.B.3.-7. They should be deleted completely because these items do not apply to the issuers of derivative securities (e.g. seasonality of the business, raw materials, installment sales, manufacturing processes).
- IV.B.8. This item may be relevant for banking-issuers. In fact, banks are subject to the control of each national Central Bank and the investor may be interested in this item because that item may provide the investor with an explanation on the reasons why some information regarding a banking issuer are not included in the prospectus.

Organisational Structure – IOSCO ref IV.C

204. CESR questions the appropriateness of the level of detail set out in IOSCO disclosure standard IV.C relating to the company's group structure for these products.

QUESTION

205. Do you consider that a brief description of the issuer's group and the issuer's position within it, as set out in IOSCO reference IV.C, to be an appropriate disclosure requirement for these products?

A brief description is an appropriate disclosure requirement.

Property, Plants and Equipment – IOSCO ref IV.D

206. CESR questions the appropriateness of this IOSCO disclosure standard for these products, as the investor is not investing in the company, and as such information about the issuer's property, plants and equipment may not assist an investor in making an investment decision as to whether or not to buy the derivative instrument.

QUESTION

207. Do you consider Section IV.D of IOSCO to be relevant disclosure for these products? Please give your reasons.

We believe that the disclosure provided in Section IVD of IOSCO is not relevant for these products. Moreover, the requirements in IV.D. are not applicable for banking institutions. As the majority of issuers of derivative securities for the retail market are banks this requirement seems not to be very relevant.

Operating and financial review and prospects –IOSCO ref V

208. CESR questions the appropriateness of IOSCO disclosure standard V for these products.

QUESTIONS

209. Do you consider Section V.D of IOSCO to be relevant disclosure for these products? Please give your reasons

It is not relevant for Banks issuing derivative securities.

210. Please advise what, if any, other disclosure requirements set out in Section V of IOSCO you consider to be relevant for these products. Please give your reasons.

None. It is not relevant for Banks issuing derivative securities.

Directors, senior management and employees – IOSCO ref VI

211. CESR questions the appropriateness of the level of detail set out in Section VI of IOSCO about the directors and senior management of the issuing company, it's board practices and it's employees for these products

QUESTIONS

212. Do you consider that the name and function of the directors of the issuing company to be the appropriate level of disclosure for these products?

Yes. Name and functions of the directors are sufficient.

213. Please advise what if any other items of Section V of IOSCO you consider to be of relevance for these products. Please give your reasons.

It is not necessary to require further information as the investment in derivative securities is not an equity investment in the shares of the issuer.

Major shareholders and related party transactions – IOSCO ref VII

214. CESR questions the appropriateness of detailed disclosure about how the issuer is controlled for these products as set out in Section VII of IOSCO.

QUESTION

215. Do you consider that a statement setting out whether or not the company is directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another entity and the name of that entity to be the appropriate level of disclosure for these products?

The mentioning of the controlling entity (if any) is the appropriate level of disclosure. Indeed, the owner or controlling entity of the issuer may affect the solvency of the issuer and the ability to meet its obligations.

Financial information IOSCO ref VIII

216. CESR considers that information about the solvency of the issuer and its ability to meet its obligations to an investor is relevant for these products, but questions the appropriateness of requiring the level of detail set out in IOSCO disclosure standard VIII for these products.

QUESTIONS

- 217. At this stage do you have views about whether the following types of financial information about the issuer are relevant and as such should be disclosed in the registration document for these products? Please give your reasons.
 - a) balance sheet
 - b) profit and loss account
 - c) statement showing either (i) changes in equity other than those arising from capital transactions with owners and distributions to owners; or (ii) all changes in equity (including a subtotal of all non-owner items recognised directly in equity)
 - d) cash flow statement
 - e) accounting policies
 - f) related notes and schedules required by the comprehensive body of accounting standards to which the financial statements are prepared.

Yes, especially for the balance sheet, profit and loss account and accounting policies can be provided.

218. For how many years should the above disclosure be given?
a) for the last year, or
c) for the last two years.

For the two financial years preceding the time of issue of the derivative securities

- 219. Do you think that there should be a disclosure requirement that the notes to the accounts be included in the registration document for these products?

 Please give your reasons.
- 220. Please advise which (if any) of the other CESR disclosure standards set out in Sections VII.C-VII.I of the Corporate Retail Debt building block at Annex "I" you deem to be relevant disclosure for these products. Please give your reasons.

VII.C. Since we assume that the issuer already files the documents related to the two financial years preceding the date of the issue, we think that a comparative financial statement of the same years may be a burden for the issuer.

VII.I Legal and arbitration proceedings should be disclosed only if material (i.e., jeopardize the ability to meet its obligations/solvency). At any rate, if that information is material, the issuer shall probably make a disclosure in the "risk factors" section of the prospectus.

Additional information - IOSCO ref X

221. Section X of IOSCO covers a number of different areas of disclosure and CESR is seeking at this stage to establish which of these areas of disclosure is considered to be appropriate for these products.

QUESTIONS

- 222. At this stage do you have views about which of the following sections of IOSCO regarding the issuer's share capital you consider to be relevant information to be disclosed in the registration document for these products?

 Please give your reasons.
 - a) Section X.A.1
 - b) Section X.A.2
 - c) Section X.A.3
 - d) Section X.A.4
 - e) Section X.A.5
 - f) Section X.A.6
 - X.A.1. Information in a), b) and c) should be provided. Any reconciliation of outstanding shares at the beginning and the end of the year seems not to be appropriate for derivative securities.
 - X.A.2. not to be disclosed, unless affect the solvency of the issuer and the ability to meet its obligations.
 - X.A.3. not to be disclosed as such holdings neither have any influence on the price of derivative securities nor on the ability of the issuer to fulfil its obligations under the derivative securities.
 - X.A.4. as issue of derivative securities cannot be not compared to an equity issue the information on authorised capital is not relevant for the price of the derivative securities and may have no effect on the ability of the issuer to fulfil its obligations under the derivative securities.
 - X.A.5. see reasons above.

X.A.6 see reasons above, information provided sub X.A.1 should be enough.

- 223. At this stage do you have views about which of the following sections of IOSCO regarding the issuer's Memorandum and Articles of Association you consider to be relevant information to be disclosed in the registration document for these products? Please give your reasons.
 - a) Section X.B.1
 - b) Section X.B.2
 - c) Section X.B.3
 - d) Section X.B.4
 - e) Section X.B.5
 - f) Section X.B.6
 - g) Section X.B.7
 - h) Section X.B.8
 - i) Section X.B.9
 - j) Section X.B.10
 - X.B.1.to be disclosed.
 - X.B.2.not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity. Moreover, it is a piece of information already provided sub X.B.1.
 - X.B.3.not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity. It is irrelevant for an issue of derivative securities the information regarding the rights, preferences and restrictions attaching to each class of shares of the issuer.
 - X.B.4.not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity.
 - X.B.5. not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity
 - X.B.6. not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity
 - X.B.7. not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity. Moreover, it is a piece of information already provided sub X.B.1.
 - X.B.8. not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity. Moreover, it is a piece of information already provided sub X.B.1.
 - X.B.9. not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity
 - X.B.10.not to be disclosed, only relevant for investments in issuer's equity. Moreover, it is a piece of information already provided sub X.B.1.
- 224. In relation to Section X.C of IOSCO which sets out the Material Contracts disclosure requirements, at this stage do you have views about which material contracts for these products should be

summarized in the registration document for these products? Please give your reasons.

There is no necessity to include any material contract disclosure requirement as such contracts will generally not have any impact on the issuer's ability to fulfil it's obligations under the derivative securities. If contracts do have that impact, they should be disclosed in the "risk factors" section of the prospectus.

225. Do you consider Section X.C of IOSCO which sets out the Exchange Controls disclosure requirements to be relevant for these products? Please give your reasons.

Yes. We deem that exchange controls may affect the ability of the issuer to pay its obligations, especially in case of cash-settlement derivatives.

226. Do you consider that the information about the issuer's dividend policy as set out in Section X.F of IOSCO to be relevant for these products? Please give your reasons.

The dividend policy of the issuer of the derivative securities is of no interest for the holder of such securities as the holder is not entitled to any dividend payment of the issuer of the derivative securities.

227. In relation to Section X.H of IOSCO which sets out the Documents on display disclosure requirements, at this stage do you have views about which documents should be put on display for these? Please give your reasons.

We do not see any specific advantage for the investor in derivative securities of putting documents on display.

However, the following documents, if any, could be made available for the public on display:

- 1. most recent annual report of the issuer:
- 2. published interim figures of the issuer;
- 3. articles of association of the Issuer;
- 4. paying agency agreement, if any;
- 5. calculation agency agreement, if any.

228. Do you consider that information about the issuer's subsidiaries as set out in Section X.I of IOSCO to be relevant disclosure for these products? Please give your reasons

There is no necessity to require information concerning subsidiaries in addition to the information already required by the accounting principles applicable to the issuer of the derivative securities.

The disclosure requirements for guaranteed derivative securities.

- 229. On the assumption that there will be a guaranteed derivative securities registration document building block, the possible disclosure requirements for these securities will follow in the next consultation.
- 230. CESR considers at this stage that the disclosure requirements for these securities should be drawn from the debt disclosure requirements to reflect the debt characteristics of these products and be tailored to reflect the nature of the product and the different investment decision about the issuer that an investor in a derivative product is making about the derivative issuer.
- 231. Although CESR discussed that guaranteed derivative securities may be more akin to debt securities than derivatives in that the issuer has an obligation to give an investor some form of return on its investment irrespective of how the underlying instrument to which the derivative is linked performs, the distinction between guaranteed and non guaranteed derivative securities becomes less clear for those products where the percentage of the guaranteed return is small for example less than 5% of the initial return.

QUESTIONS

232. Should all guaranteed derivative securities, irrespective of the percentage return they offer an investor, be treated in the same way, or should there be some form of minimum return that is guaranteed for these instruments in order for the product to be classifiable as a guaranteed return derivative as opposed to a non-guaranteed return derivative?

Irrelevant, as we do not see any reason to differentiate between guaranteed and not guaranteed derivative securities.

233. If you consider that a percentage benchmark should be set to distinguish between those products where the return is high and therefore additional disclosure about the issuer is justified, please specify what this percentage of return should be, and give a reason for your answer.

See arguments above.

234. Do you consider that in addition to the percentage return on the investment, the life of the product should be taken into consideration, so that an instrument that has a 100% capital guarantee return with only a 6 month life cycle should be treated for disclosure purposes differently than a product with 100% capital guarantee but with a 10 year life cycle? Please give reasons for your answers.

See arguments above.

B. Securities Note

EXPLANATORY TEXT

Methodology

- 235. In order to answer the Provisional Request, CESR has developed three main schedules for the securities note concerning the following types of transferable securities: equity (shares), debt (bonds) and derivatives (other securities). These schedules are attached to the present Consultation Paper (Annexes "K" "L" "M").
- 236. Each one of these schedules is composed of two different kind of items. A first kind of items is those CESR thinks that should be present in all securities notes, whatever the type of security concerned. These Common Items were discussed by CESR Expert Group as a Common Items building block (Annex "N).
- 237. Other building blocks have been developed by the group which concern the specific items that should be present in all securities notes, depending on the type of security concerned. There are consequently, specific items for equity, for debt and for derivatives.
- 238. For the sake of practicality, these different building blocks have been incorporated in the above mentioned three basic schedules. However, in order to make it possible to distinguish between the common items and the specific items in each schedule, those items that are part of the specific items have been shaded in grey in the different schedules. For the purpose of this consultation paper, the list of common items is also attached.
- 239. The schedules have been drafted on the basis of the information items required in the IOSCO Disclosure Standards for cross-border offering and initial listings (Part I) and on the existing schedules of the Directive 80/390/EEC which has been replaced by Directive 2001/34/EC of 28 May 2001 on the admission of securities to official stock exchange listing and on information to be published on those securities.
- 240. Further inspiration has been sought in CESR's previous work, in particular in "A 'European Passport' for Issuers" (FESCO/00-138b of 20 December 2000), in "A 'European Passport' for Issuers: An Additional Submission to the European Commission on the issues raised in paragraph 18 of the FESCO report of 20 December 2000" (FESCO/01-045 of July 2001), and in Stabilization and allotment, a European Supervisory Approach" April 2002 (CESR/02-020b).
- 241. In order to reflect the origin of the different items listed in the schedules, the schedules are divided in two columns. The left one contains the items. The right one refers to the source of the items.

Building block approach at the point of issue

- 242. As already stated, the three draft schedules are themselves the result of putting together each time two building blocks (common items + specific items). CESR plans to develop additional building blocks taking account of the different categories of issuers, investors, markets and securities.
- 243. The draft schedules that are submitted to consultation are core schedules, or minimum schedules. They contain the minimum items that a securities note should, in CESR's opinion, contain for all types of offers or admissions to trading of any type of securities.
- 244. CESR is aware of the fact that not all securities can easily be defined as strictly belonging to one of the three types of securities for which a schedule has been drafted. For instance, a convertible obligation is a debt security which, under specific circumstances and at certain conditions, can be converted into a share. In such a case, the issuer should be able, under guidance of the competent authority, to add some specific items of the equity schedule to the debt schedule in order to reflect all characteristics of the convertible obligation.
- 245. Additional building blocks shall also be necessary in order to add specific information regarding the type of issuer, offer, market and security concerned. Those will be developed in the coming months and submitted to a second round of consultation.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 246. CESR recommends to adopt three main schedules encompassing the three following main types of securities: equity securities, debt securities and derivative securities.
- 247. These three main schedules should consist of: a) a list of common items identical whatever the type of offeror admission considered, and b) a list of specific items relating to the type of security offered of for which admission is sought.
- 248. In order to draft securities notes for securities that do not strictly belong to one of the three main types, the issuer should be able, under guidance of the competent authority, to add some specific items of another schedule to the main schedule chosen in accordance with the most relevant characteristics of the securities offered.

QUESTIONS

249. Do you consider it an appropriate approach to obtain flexibility by creating specific building blocks on particular characteristics of some issuers, offers, markets and securities?

We appreciate flexibility with respect to the establishment of Building Blocks. However, we support the establishment of a building blocks for Banks with less disclosure requirements compared to other issuers as

Banks are subject to supervision of the Banking Supervisory Authorities in the various Member States. On the other hand, we are of the opinion that one general Building Block for Derivative Securities is sufficient in order to guarantee the required innovation flexibility for the derivative markets.

250. Format of the Schedules - Is the format of the three main schedules suitable?

These schedules are composed of (i) common items and (ii) specific items for each type of securities, amalgamated in one single document. Is this approach sensible or should the common items and the specific items form distinct blocks?

In principle we support the three main schedules. However, although the system of Common Items is supported in principle, it has to be considered that a great number of Common Items stated are only applicable for Equity Securities

- 251. Complex financial instruments In order to ensure adequate disclosure for securities that do not fall within just one of the three main types, do you agree that the Competent Authority should (as envisaged by Article 21(4)(a) of the amended proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading and amending Directive 2001/34/EC, be able to add specific items of another schedule to the main schedule chosen, that it considers necessary having regard to the characteristics of the securities offered, as opposed to their legal form?
- 252. Section I.2. Should advisers be mentioned in all cases, or only if they could be held liable by an investor in relation with the information given in the prospectus?

see answer to question 135.

- 253. Section I.5. Under Section I.5., the securities note should mention any other information in the prospectus besides the annual accounts, which have been audited or reviewed by the auditors. Should the securities note contain the "auditors report relating to this information"?
- 254. Sections I.6. and I.7. Sections I.6. and I.7. both concern the responsibility attached to drawing up a prospectus. Although under the proposed directive it is possible to choose a format consisting of three documents (Registered Document, Securities Note and Summary), these three documents are considered as making one prospectus. Is it therefore correct to assume that responsibility for each of these three parts must rest with the same persons?

The issuer shall be the only party responsible for the entire prospectus. At any rate, we understand that the civil and criminal liability for the prospectus was left to the national legislation.

- 255. Section III.A.- Under Section III.A., all securities notes must contain a statement of capitalization and indebtedness. Is such a statement necessary for derivatives?
- 256. Section III.B. (III.B.1. for the derivatives schedule) Section III.B. asks to list the reasons for the offer and the use of proceeds. While this is an important item for shares and bonds, is it also the case for derivatives?

Use of proceeds is not applicable for Derivative Securities as the proceeds from the issue will not be used by the issuer for certain financing purposes but for hedging arrangements to neutralize the risks for the Issuer.

- 257. Section III.C.2.(d) Section III.C.2.(d) requires inclusion of a worked example of the "worst case scenario".
 - 1) Does this information provide material information for investors?
 - 2) Are there circumstances in which an example of the worst case scenario is not appropriate?
 - 3) Would the disclosures as set out below be an appropriate alternative:
 - a) a risk warning to the effect that investors may lose the value of their entire investment, and/or
 - b) if the investor's liability is not limited to the value of his investment, a statement of that fact, together with a description of the circumstances in which such additional liability arises and the likely financial effect.

We object to the requirement of best and worst case scenarios as it is extraordinary difficult (if even possible) to summarise the criteria for a best /worst case scenarios with respect to the performance of derivative securities. This is specially the case as the number of factors and their interdependencies influencing the performance of derivative securities cannot be ultimately defined. However,

- a) we of course do not object to a clarification (if applicable) that investors may lose the value of their entire investment, as we think that this information would anyhow be part of a proper risk warning and as we see it to be in best interest of an issuer of Derivative Securities to mention this risk warning in order to avoid any compensation claims of an Investor;
- b) we see no obligation to inform about investor's liabilities as Derivative Securities do not foresee any such liabilities of the investors (see also our comments on Annex M III.C 2. c),d) and e))
- 258. Section IV.A. Under Section IV.A., the interests of experts in the issue or the offer must be disclosed. These interests encompass

those of any expert or counselor who has a material, direct or indirect economic interest in the company". Is it necessary in the case of derivatives?

It seems not applicable to derivative securities. The described conflict of interest typically applies in the case of an IPO or a share placement where existing shareholders sell their shares to the public. This is not the case in Derivative Securities issues.

- 259. Section V.A. Section V.A. lists the items to be disclosed in -order to give a description of the securities that are offered or admitted to trading. Should the following additional items be added to Section V.A.
 - a) Legislation under which securities have been created;
 - b) Court competent in the event of litigation;
 - c) Redress Service available for investors, if any"? Should information about the rating of the issuer or of the issues be mentioned under that item? If yes, which one of the following wording would be more appropriate:
 - "Rating assigned to the issue or to the securities by rating agencies and /or commercial bank lenders pointing out the name of the rating organization whose rating is disclosed and explaining the meaning of the rating. If a rating does not exist, to the knowledge of the issuer, it is required to disclose the fact that there is no rating", or
 - "Rating assigned, at the issuers requests or with its cooperation, to the issue or to the securities by rating agencies and /or commercial bank lenders, pointing out the name of the rating organization whose rating is disclosed and explaining the meaning of the rating".
- 260. Section V.B.12, first indent of Annex M Section V.B.12, first indent of Annex M requires a statement concerning the past performance of the underlying and its volatility. Is this disclosure necessary? Should the requirement for disclosure vary depending upon whether the underlying instrument is admitted to trading on a regulated market and the nature of the market? Should the requirement for disclosure vary depending upon the nature of the underlying instrument?

We object to this requirement as:

(i) in case of an investment decision in derivative securities, the investor has already a clear understanding of the underlying to which the Derivative Security is referring to. He has already decided that he wants to have a certain exposure to the specific Index or Share etc. and is therefore only interested in the

mechanics of the respective Derivative Securities. Any further information on the price and volatility history of the underlying is superfluous in the Securities Note.

- (ii) the performance of the underlying in the past does not give any reliable information with respect to the performance of the underlying in the future. Consequently, the information on the past performance of the underlying is of no additional value for the investor; such information could be even misleading, and
- (iii) the implementation of the current figures have a negative impact on the flexibility of the issuing procedure and the information included are already out of date when the securities note is published.

However, in case there is an economic theory according to which the past performance of the underlying and its volatility may affect future performance of the underlying, we would not object to the inclusion of that information in the prospectus.

261. For the three main schedules, please identify those items that you deem unnecessary.

See our comments to Annex M

262. For the three main schedules, please list those items that are missing and that should be in the securities notes.

For the Annex M we do not have any further requirements.

PART TWO - INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Extract from Provisional Request

- 263. According to Paragraph 2.2 of the Provisional Request CESR is asked to "provide technical advice on possible draft rules on at least the following:
 - the documents that can be incorporated by reference in a prospectus (e.g. memorandum of association, annual and interim accounts, press releases);
 - the documents that can be incorporated by reference in order to fulfil annual update requirements linked to the registration document."

Introduction

264. As a first step the Expert Group, in order to verify the possible existence of common grounds on the issue of incorporation by reference, drafted a

- questionnaire aimed at providing an overview of the present practices or legislative measures adopted in each State.
- 265. No definition of incorporation by reference is provided for in the jurisdiction of those members that have answered the questionnaire. Furthermore, in those jurisdictions that allow incorporation by reference this practice is intended differently. In particular some jurisdictions consider the practice of "shelf registration" as a kind of incorporation by reference because the registration document is incorporated by reference in the securities note. Others consider as incorporation by reference also the possibility for a supplementary prospectus to make reference to a previous prospectus approved by the competent authority less than one year before (as provided for by article 6 of Directive 89/298 and article 23.1 of Directive 2001/34). Others include in the category of incorporation by reference the drawing up of a supplement that is considered as being incorporated by reference in the prospectus (provided for by article 18 of Directive 89/298 and by article 100 of Directive 2001/34) or the circumstance that other documents mentioned in the prospectus are available to investors in the places indicated in the prospectus (documents on display).
- 266. Finally, in one State, incorporation by reference is provided for by the law for the listing particulars concerning debt securities which are normally purchased and traded in by a limited number of investors who are particularly knowledgeable in investment matters —such as Eurobonds-(see article 27 of Directive 2001/34 concerning the possible omission of information, option left to the Member States). In this case the listing particulars may indicate that the annual report of the company, and the interim report, if any, are incorporated by reference in the listing particulars and that any interested party may obtain, free of charge, a copy of such documents at the offices of the organization retained to act as paying agents in respect of the relevant issue.

What is incorporation by reference?

- 267. The first step is therefore the identification of what is to be intended as incorporation by reference.
- 268. With incorporation by reference the issuer, when drafting a prospectus or the documents composing it, instead of including the information required by the minimum information requirements directly in the prospectus, may include such information by means of a reference made to an already published document that contains the required information. The information contained in the referred to document is therefore considered as being part of the prospectus as if it were restated in it.
- 269. Even though the procedure linked to the choice of drafting a registration document and that of the supplements is similar to that of incorporation by reference, the circumstance that the Commission proposal deals with them separately entails that incorporation by reference is an additional practice that the Commission Proposal intends to introduce in Community

legislation. The Commission Proposal in fact provides for incorporation by reference in article 11, while it provides for the registration document in articles 5 and 12 (when indicating the format of the prospectus), and in article 9 for the validity of the prospectus and in article 16 for supplements.

A . DOCUMENTS THAT CAN BE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN A PROSPECTUS EXPLANATORY TEXT

Factors which need to be taken into account in deciding whether and when a document may be incorporated by reference in a prospectus

- 270. In order to identify, as required by the Provisional Request, which documents may be incorporated by reference, it is fundamental to recall that the aim of incorporation by reference is to simplify and reduce the costs of drafting a prospectus. This aim however should not be achieved to the detriment of the other interests the prospectus is meant to protect. In fact according to present directives (article 11 of directive 89/298 and article 21.1 of Directive 2001/34) and to the Commission's Proposal (article 5.1 of the amended version) the prospectus must contain all the information necessary in order to enable the investor to make an informed assessment of the proposed investment. To this aim, when evaluating whether documents may or may not be incorporated by reference, besides the simplification of procedures and reduction of costs for issuers, the circumstance that the natural location of the information required is the prospectus, should be considered.
- 271. These aspects should also be borne in mind by the competent authority that, when approving the prospectus, should allow incorporation by reference only to the extent that procedures are simplified for issuers but not complicated for investors also in terms of comprehensibility and accessibility of the information. Therefore, adequately balancing the interests of issuers and those of investors, it should be possible to incorporate as many documents as possible provided that the interest of investors of receiving at no cost an easily analysable prospectus is duly protected.

Characteristics of the documents incorporated by reference

- 272. CESR acknowledges the fact that documents incorporated by reference are part of the prospectus and therefore the regime applicable to them should, as far as possible, be the same as that of the prospectus.
- 273. For the safeguard of this principle CESR believes that only incorporation by reference of those documents that are drawn up in the same language of the prospectus or of the documents composing it into which the relevant information is incorporated (registration document, securities note, supplements) should be allowed.
- 274. The provisional request mentioned in the previous paragraph was based on the first version of the Commission proposal. The amended version of

the proposal, in article 11, paragraph 1, provides that "Member States shall allow information to be incorporated in the prospectus by referring to one or more previously published documents, which have been approved or filed in accordance with this Directive, in particular pursuant to article 10, or with Titles IV and V of Directive 2001/34/EC." Even though this is not a final text of the Directive, CESR has taken this version in consideration.

- 275. The Commission Proposal therefore already provides that the documents containing the information that may be incorporated by reference must be previously published and filed or approved in accordance with the Directive or with Directive 2001/34. This is linked to the fact that the procedure of incorporation by reference is meant to simplify and reduce the costs of publication of the prospectus: only if the documents incorporated by reference have been published before the drawing up of the prospectus or the Documents composing it, does incorporation by reference appear to be useful for the achievement of the said goal. It should be kept in mind that approval is required only if national legislation in the context of the transposition of the requirements of the mentioned Directives, so provides. The reference made to article 10 of the Commission proposal implies that the documents incorporated by reference should have been published according to the requirements provided for by legislation transposing also Company Law Directives, and Regulation on IAS.
- 276. According to article 11, paragraph 1 of the Commission proposal the information incorporated by reference "shall be the latest available to the issuer." CESR is of the opinion that this provision does not mean that the prospectus cannot incorporate by reference historical data. If documents containing information that has undergone material changes are incorporated by reference the prospectus should clearly state such a circumstance including the updated information.
- 277. In order to allow the correct evaluation of the documents incorporated by reference, CESR is of the view that these documents should be filed with the competent authority previously or together with the prospectus.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 278. The documents that can be incorporated by reference in a prospectus, besides the characteristics provided for by article 11 paragraph 1 of the Commission proposal:
 - Should be drawn up in the same language of the prospectus or of the documents composing it (registration document, securities note, supplements) into which the information is incorporated by reference.
 - Should have been filed with the competent authority either previously or together with the prospectus.
- 279. According to the above listed characteristics the following documents may be incorporated by reference in a prospectus:

- annual and interim financial statements:
- merger and de-merger documents;
- auditor's report ;
- memorandum and articles of association
- earlier approved and published prospectuses;
- press releases.

QUESTIONS

280. Do you think that the above illustrative list is acceptable?

We would add to the list:

- Information document pertinent to a specific transaction (acquisitions, dismissals and extraordinary corporate events) disclosed to the public in connection with that transaction:
- 2. If not included in the annual and interim financial statements, the management's discussion and analysis of the issuer's financial condition and operation.

281. Should further technical advice be given on the documents that can be incorporated by reference in the prospectus? In the case of an affirmative answer please indicate which technical advice should be given.

The overall objective of the incorporation by reference should be an integrated disclosure program to eliminate overlapping and unnecessary disclosure requirements without compromising the information needs of investors so that the regulatory burdens on issuers are reduced.

There should be coordination between the documents that can be incorporated by reference and duties to disclose provided by securities/corporate laws on issuers. In other words, all of the documents that the issuer shall be required to make available to the public periodically or in connection with a particular event may be incorporated by reference in the prospectus provided that the language requirement is met.

The prospectus for an IPO should, therefore, require complete disclosure and permits no incorporation by reference. On the other hand, the prospectus that is used in a secondary offering may incorporate by reference the information already disclosed to the public by the issuer. At least two different building blocks should be provided for issuers, in case of equity securities: the first for IPOs and the second for issuers already subject to the disclosure requirements provided by the securities/corporate laws.

Finally, the responsibilities of the issuer/sponsor for the prospectus should be extended to the documents that may be incorporated by reference because are, *de facto*, part of the prospectus.

B. DOCUMENTS THAT CAN BE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE FOR ANNUAL UPDATING OF THE REGISTRATION DOCUMENT

EXPLANATORY TEXT

282. According to the Article 10 of the amended version of the Commission proposal there is no longer an obligation to draft an annual update of the registration document. There is a new obligation to update at least on a early basis information related to the issuer that would be included in a prospectus. According to the Commission's proposal this update is not requested under the form of a new drafted document but might be done by reference to the place where the information is given or the documents are published or available. This procedure is not a form of incorporation by reference because this implies the drafting of a prospectus or a registration document. The specific request on documents that can be incorporated by reference for annual updating of the registration document does no longer seem to be appropriate.

C. ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL ADVICE

EXPLANATORY TEXT

- 283. As previously recalled, the Provisional Request asks CESR to provide technical advice "at least" on the documents that may be incorporated by reference in the prospectus and for the annual updating of the registration document. CESR believes that other considerations on the practice of incorporation by reference should be made.
- 284. In particular CESR considers fundamental the indication of specific rules concerning the accessibility of the documents incorporated by reference. As said before, when indicating the characteristics of the documents that may be incorporated by reference, this practice should be allowed taking in consideration the identification and accessibility of the information for investors.
- 285. As far as the accessibility of the incorporated documents is concerned, CESR, according to article 14.1 of the amended version of the Commission Proposal, is of the opinion that the modalities should be the same as those provided for the prospectus. Therefore the documents should be available, at no cost, in the same places where the prospectus should be made available. A paper copy should also be available free of charge on request. When the prospectus is made available in electronic form the documents incorporated by reference, and solely these documents, should be linked to the prospectus with easy and immediate technical modalities. The documents should be made available to anyone for the same period as the prospectus.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

286. The documents incorporated by reference should be made available with the same modalities as the prospectus. Therefore the documents

incorporated by reference should be available at no cost in the same places where the prospectus should be made available and for the same period of time. A paper copy should be given free of charge on request.

287. When the prospectus is made available in electronic form the documents incorporated by reference, and solely these documents, should be linked to the prospectus with easy and immediate technical modalities.

QUESTIONS

- 288. Should other aspects concerning the accessibility of the documents incorporated by reference be considered?
- 289. Should CESR give other technical advice on further aspects of incorporation by reference? In the case of an affirmative answer please indicate which technical advice should be given.

PART THREE - AVAILABILITY OF THE PROSPECTUS

Extract from Provisional Request

- 290. According to paragraph 2.3. of the Provisional Request, CESR is asked to "provide technical advice on possible draft implementing rules on at least the following:
 - Availability in an electronic format principles on ensuring a wide electronic access;
 - Availability via the press (periodicity of newspapers: minimum circulation, nature of the newspaper: financial, general)."

Introduction

- 291. The basic principles and features of the regime of the availability of the prospectus are already established at Level 1 legislation, in particular in article 14 of the Commission Proposal.
- 292. According to the provisions of the Commission Proposal referred to above and considering the developments in the Council of the European Union, the following principles should be kept in mind, as premises of CESR's technical advice:

The means of availability of the prospectus eligible for the purposes of the directive are:

- by insertion in one or more **newspapers** circulated throughout the Member States in which the offer is made or the admission to trading is sought, or widely circulated therein, or
- in the form of a **brochure** to be made available, free of charge, to the public at the offices of the market on which the securities are being

admitted to trading, or at the registered offices of the issuer and at the offices of the financial intermediaries placing or selling the securities, including paying agents, or

- in **electronic form** on the issuer's website and, if applicable, on the web-site of the financial intermediaries placing or selling the securities, including paying agents.
- 293. The competent authority shall publish on its website over a period of twelve months, at its choice, all the prospectuses approved or at least the list of prospectuses approved in accordance with Article 13, including, if applicable, a hyperlink to the prospectus published, on the website of the issuer.
- 294. In the case of a prospectus drawn up with several documents and/or with information incorporated by reference, the documents and information composing the prospectus may be published and circulated separately as long as the said documents are made available, free of charge, to the public, according to the arrangements established in paragraph 2 of article 14, with a link between those documents.
- 295. The text and the format of the prospectus, and/or the supplements to the prospectus, published or made available to the public, should at any time be identical to the original version approved by the competent authority.
- 296. Where the prospectus is made available by publication in electronic form, a paper copy must nevertheless be delivered free of charge by the issuer, the offeror, the person asking for admission to trading or the financial intermediary placing or selling the securities.
- 297. The supplement to the prospectus is published in accordance with at least the same arrangements as were applied when the original prospectus was disseminated.
- 298. Considering that, in respect to the European legislation currently in force regarding the availability of the prospectus, the main new feature of the regime established in the Commission Proposal is the recognition of the possibility of using modern technologies in addition to the already existing arrangements, the Expert Group drafted a questionnaire in order to have an overview of the present practices or legislative measures adopted in each State. In particular specific questions were made on the existence of any conditions/limits regarding the publication of a prospectus in electronic form.
- 299. In a vast majority of the States the posting of the prospectus on the website of the issuer and/or financial intermediaries is a customary practice, even if it does not substitute the traditional means. In one State, if the securities are offered via the Internet it is mandatory to post the prospectus in the Internet. In addition, currently several competent authorities and market operators make the prospectus available on their own websites. The main conditions indicated for the publication of the

prospectus on a website are the issue of a press release indicating the date of availability and the internet address; specific limits regarding the file format; the need to make a clear distinction from other kinds of information, such as advertising; the inclusion of specific warnings related to the addressees of public offers; and the need for a certificate of authenticity where the issuer declares that the electronic version is the same as the hard copy. CESR has taken these practices in consideration when preparing the required advice for level 2 implementing measures.

300. Any reference to the prospectus made in Part Three of the present document should be read as including the prospectus as a single document, the documents that compose the prospectus - registration document (when used as a part of a prospectus), securities note, and summary -, and any supplement to the prospectus.

A. AVAILABILITY IN AN ELECTRONIC FORMAT

Explanatory Text

- 301. Besides the principle, already stated in the Commission Proposal, that the text and format of the prospectus, whatever the means of publication, should be identical to the version approved by and filed with the competent authority, to ensure that availability of the prospectus in electronic format is an equal alternative to the traditional means of publication, CESR is of the opinion that additional safety measures are required.
- 302. It is, at least, necessary to ensure that i) the prospectus is easily accessed when entering the web site in question; ii) the file format is such that the prospectus cannot be modified, either by the issuer or third parties with access to the web site and to the file; iii) the prospectus in itself does not contain hyperlinks, in particular links to information that may contain subjective and biased opinions, such as price targets and advertising documents with the exception of links to the electronic addresses where information incorporated in the prospectus by reference is available; and iv) the prospectus can be easily downloaded (and, consequently, the investor is provided with any necessary software) and printed.
- 303. CESR is also of the opinion that, due to foreign regulations regarding the definition of public offer it should be made clear that the availability of a prospectus for a public offer in the Internet does not constitute, by itself, an offer addressed to residents in all jurisdictions. Therefore, CESR strongly recommends the insertion of a disclaimer to ensure that ineligible investors cannot subscribe for the offer.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 304. The publication of the prospectus in electronic form, pursuant to Article 14 (2) c) of the proposed Directive or as an additional mean of availability, should be subject to the following requirements:
 - a) The prospectus should be easily accessed when entering the website:
 - b) The file format should be such that the prospectus cannot be modified (e.g. pdf-file);
 - c) The prospectus cannot contain hyper-links, with exception of links to the electronic addresses where information incorporated in the prospectus by reference is available (in such a case only the documents incorporated by reference should be made available); d) The investors should have the possibility of downloading and printing the prospectus.
- 305. prospectus for public offer is made available on the web-sites of issuers and financial intermediaries, these should take measures, such as the insertion of warnings related to the addressees of the offer, to avoid targeting residents in other jurisdictions where the public offer does not take place.

QUESTION

306. Should there be technical implementing measures at Level 2 further defining what is deemed to be "easy access" and which specific file formats are accepted for this purpose?

B. AVAILABILITY VIA THE PRESS

Explanatory Text

- 307. According to the proposed directive, when an issuer/offeror chooses to publish the prospectus by its insertion in one or more newspapers, these newspapers should circulate "throughout the Member States" or be "widely circulated therein". Level 2 measures should indicate the scope, periodicity, and nature of such newspaper. CESR believes that in deciding such features, the following issues should be borne in mind.
- 308. With regard to the scope of the newspaper, CESR is of the opinion that the publication of the prospectus in a national or supra-regional newspaper (in the sense that it widely circulates throughout the territory of the State) should be required for the purposes of compliance with the duty of making a prospectus available to the public.
- 309. As far as minimum circulation is concerned, considering that the circulation (number of copies sold to the public) of newspapers depends upon the geographic area, number of inhabitants and reading habits in each Member State, the setting up of a given threshold is not recommended. In alternative, the need for the eligible newspapers to be broadly read may be dealt with by establishing that the prospectus must

- be published in one of the 8 newspapers with major circulation, as ranked by an independent entity.
- 310. As far as the nature of the eligible newspaper is concerned, it is worth noting that there are newspapers of very specific natures (general, financial, culture, sports, advertisings, etc) and not all of them are suitable for the publication of a prospectus. CESR considers that the prospectus should be published in a general newspaper or in a financial/business newspaper, as long as its circulation satisfies the minimum circulation requirements.
- 311. Finally, with regard to periodicity, CESR believes that when the minimum circulation and nature of the newspapers requirements are complied with, the issuer/offeror should not be prevented from publishing the prospectus also in non daily newspapers.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 312. The newspaper where the prospectus is inserted according to Article 14 (2) a) of the proposed Directive should comply with the following requirements:
 - a) It should have a national or supra-regional scope;
 - b) It should be one of the 8 national newspapers with more circulation in the Member State, as ranked by an independent entity;
 - c) It should be a general or financial information newspaper.

QUESTION

313. Are there any additional factors and/or requirements that should be taken into account at Level 2 concerning the availability via the press?

C. ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL ADVICE

314. In addition to the issues particularly asked for in the Provisional Request, CESR is of the view that there are three other matters regarding the availability of the prospectus that would require Level 2 implementing measures. One concerns the disclosure in a formal notice of the chosen means of publication of the prospectus. The second issue regards the measures that should be taken into account when making the prospectus available in the form of a brochure. The third concerns the delivery of a paper copy when the prospectus is available in electronic format.

D. 1. Notice stating where the prospectus is available

Explanatory Text

315. Article 10.4 of Directive 89/298 (for public offer prospectuses) and in article 98.2 of the Directive 2001/34 (for listing particulars), state that a notice must be inserted in a publication designated by the Member States

in which the dismission of securities is sought or the public offer is made. According to the answers to the previously mentioned questionnaire, this rule is followed in all jurisdictions and the notice is usually inserted in the official gazettes of stock exchanges in the case of listing particulars.

- 316. The Commission proposal does not provide for the publication of such notice neither in its first version nor in the lately amended one.
- 317. Nevertheless, in order to assure that investors are duly informed and have wide and easy access to prospectuses, CESR believes that the implementation of the principles established in the Commission Proposal requires the publication of such a notice stating that a prospectus (a part of it or a supplement to it) has been published and where it is available.
- 318. CESR is of the opinion that Level 2 measures should deal with the arrangements for the disclosure of this notice and its minimum content.
- 319. CESR believes that the means of publication of the notice should depend on, and be different from, the means of publication of the prospectus. If the prospectus is published in a newspaper or is available in the form of a brochure, the investors on the Internet would be informed about the publication of the prospectus by a notice posted on the issuer's website. If the prospectus is posted on the issuer's website, a notice should be published in a newspaper that fulfils the requirements for publication of prospectuses.
- 320. The notice is not supposed to be an abstract of the prospectus since its aim is that of informing the public that a prospectus from a given issuer and related to given securities has been published and where it is available.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 321. When a prospectus is published or made available pursuant to Article 14(2) of the proposed Directive, a notice stating that such document has been published and where it is available should be disclosed by the issuer / offeror according to the following arrangements: a) When the prospectus is inserted in one or more newspapers or is published in the form of a brochure, the notice shall be made available on the issuer's web-site; b) When the prospectus is published in electronic format, the notice shall be inserted on one or more newspapers that fulfil the requirements for publication of prospectuses.
- 322. The notice shall be made available or published no later than the next business day following the date of publication of the prospectus.

323. The notice shall contain, at least, the following items of information:

a) The identification of the issuer;

- The type, class and amount if already known- of the securities to be offered and/or in respect of which admission to trading is sought;
- c) The intended time schedule of the offer /admission to trading;
- d) A statement that a prospectus has been published and where it is available:
- e) If the prospectus has been published in the form of a brochure, the addresses where and the period of time during which such brochures are available to the public;
- f) If the prospectus has been made available in electronic form, the addresses to which investors should refer to ask for a paper copy;
- g) The date of the notice.

QUESTIONS

- 324. Do you consider appropriate the requirement to publish the said notice in the absence of a specific provision in the Directive proposal?
- 325. Should the minimum content of the notice be determined at Level 2 legislation?
- 326. When the prospectus is made available by its insertion in one or more newspapers or in the form of a brochure, besides the publication of a specific notice, should the list available at the website of the competent authority (see Introduction) mention where the prospectus is available?
- 327. In case of an affirmative answer to the previous question, should the indication in the web site of the competent authority be considered enough and, consequently, should it be considered as an alternative to the publication of a formal notice by the issuer/offer or?

C. 2. Publication in the form of a brochure

Explanatory Text

328. CESR is of the opinion that when the brochure is composed of more than one separate documents, it should be made clear that each of such documents should not be seen as a complete prospectus *per se*. CESR therefore, recommends that this circumstance be clearly stated.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

329. If the prospectus is composed of more than one separate document, each of them should clearly mention that it does not constitute the complete prospectus brochure.

QUESTION

330. Which other issues regarding the availability of the prospectus in the form of a brochure should be covered by CESR's technical advice?

C.3 Delivery of a paper copy

Explanatory text

331. Insofar as the delivery of a paper copy of the prospectus is concerned, when it is available in an electronic format, CESR considers necessary to implement general measures regarding, in particular i) the timing for the delivery, which must not hinder the investors' right to have the prospectus in due time; ii) the number of copies that each investor may require, not burdening unreasonably the issuer/offeror or their representatives; and iii) the investor should not be required to pay mail costs.

LEVEL 2 ADVICE

- 332. The following measures should apply to the duty of delivering a paper copy (also a print of a computer file) free of charge of the prospectus to the investors on request, when the prospectus is available in an electronic format:
 - The issuer should deliver a paper copy to the investor, as soon as possible, allowing investors to consult the prospectus in due time;
 - b) The issuer/ offeror, or their representatives, are not required to deliver more than one paper copy to each investor;
 - c) The investor should not be required to pay mail costs.

QUESTIONS

- 333. Do you agree that the issuer should not ask the investor the payment of the deliver or mail costs?
- 334. Should additional issues regarding the delivery of a paper copy of the prospectus be dealt with by Level 2 legislation?

Securities Note: Derivatives Schedule

<u>General Remark</u>: It is not very clear how the disclosure requirements of a base prospectus correlates with the requirements of the Registration Document, the Securities Note and the Summary Note.

It has to be understood that under the system of the base prospectus, the Securities Note and, eventually, the documents containing the final terms of offer ("Pricing Supplement") should only include the specific information of the respective issue and should not require any information which would restrict the flexibility of the issuing procedure (e.g. information on the issuer already given in the Registration Document, example calculations, etc.).

	NTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT, ADVISERS, FORS AND PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SECURITY	
1.	Directors and senior management (company's directors; members of its administrative, supervisory or management bodies; partners with unlimited liability; nominees to serve in any of the aforementioned positions; founders if the company has been established for fewer than 5 years): names and functions. This information should be included in the Registration Document and we see no reason to repeat it in the Securities Note.	IDS I-A
2.	Advisers that have taken part in the issue/offer: Provide the names and addresses of the company's principal bankers and legal advisers to the extent the company has a continuing relationship with such entities, the sponsor for admission to trading (where required by the host country regulations), and the legal advisers to the issue. See our answers to question 134 and 135 in the Part One of the Consultation Paper.	IDS I-B
3.	Auditors: names and addresses of the company's auditors (for the period covered by the consolidated financial statements included in the prospectus, as required by the relevant schedules in accordance with national law. This information should be included in the Registration Document and we see no reason to repeat it in the Securities Note.	IDS I-C DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A, 1.3
4.	Statement whether the annual accounts have been refused by the official auditors or if they contain qualifications, such refusal or such qualifications must be reproduced in full and the reason given. This information should be included in the Registration Document and we see no reason to repeat it in the Securities Note.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A, 1.3
5.	Indication of the other information in the prospectus which has been audited or reviewed by the auditors. This information should be included in the Registration Document and we see no reason to repeat it in the Securities Note.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A, 1.3
6.	Names, addresses and functions of the natural or legal persons responsible for the prospectus or, as the case may be, for certain parts	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A, 1.1

of it with, in the latter case, an indication of those parts	
7. Declaration by those responsible for the prospectus that, to the best of their knowledge, the information given in that part of the securities note, for which they are responsible, is in accordance with the facts and contains no omission likely to affect the import of the prospectus.	Schedule A, 1.2

II OFF	FER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE	
II. A O	ffer statistics	
and the securite subscr	amount of the issue/offer; distinguishing the securities offered for sale asse offered in subscription. This distinction applies only to equity ties. In fact, in case of equity security, the proceeds coming from the ription securities for company goes to the company while the proceeds g from the sale of securities go to the shareholders.	FESCO/01-045, II. 5. a. i and DIR. 2001/34 Schedule A, 2.2.0
If the a	mount is not fixed, a statement to this effect must be made.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule E 2.1.0
	be arrangements and time for announcing to the public the definitive of the offer.	Members' proposal
II.B M	ethod and expected timetable	
For all investor	Il offerings and separately for each group of targeted potential ors:	
1.	The time period during which the offer will be open and where and to whom purchase or subscription applications shall be addressed.	IDS II-B.1
2.	Describe whether the purchase period may be extended or shortened and the manner and duration of possible extensions or possible early closure or shortening of this period. Describe the manner in which the latter shall be made public.	IDS II-B.1
3.	Describe the possibility to reduce subscriptions and/or size.	Members' proposal
4.	If the exact dates are not known when the document is first filed or distributed to public, describe arrangements for announcing the final or definitive date or period.	IDS II-B.1
5.	Indication of when, and under what circumstances, the offer may be revoked or suspended and whether revocation can occur after dealing has begun.	FESCO/01-045, II. 5. e. i and iii)
6.	Method and time limits for paying up securities; where payment is partial, the manner and dates on which amounts due are to be paid.	IDS II-B.2
7.	Method and time limits for delivery of the securities (including provisional certificates, if applicable) to subscribers or purchasers.	IDS II-B.3
8.	A full description of the manner and date in which results of the distribution of securities are to be made public and when appropriate, the manner for refunding excess amounts paid by applicants (including whether interest will be paid). We do not understand the relevance of this provision. What is meant by "excess amounts paid"? Furthermore it should be considered that derivative securities	IDS II-B.5

addressed to retail customers (Flow Products) are issued in general as "TapIssues" and are typically sold over their entire life time. Therefore a notification concerning the results of the distribution of such products is not feasible.

III. KEY INFORMATION ABOUT THE ISSUER	
III.A Capitalization and indebtedness	
A statement of capitalization and indebtedness (distinguishing between guaranteed and unguaranteed, secured and unsecured indebtedness and the total amount of any contingent liabilities) as of a date no earlier than 60 days prior to the date of the document shall be provided. Indebtedness also includes indirect and contingent indebtedness. An appropriate negative statement shall be given, where relevant, in the absence of any loan capital, borrowings and indebtedness and contingent liabilities. We understand that the information requested is different from that content in the Registration Document filed with the competent authority annually. In this paragraph, the disclosure requirement regards a statement of capitalization and indebtedness as of a date no earlier than 60 days prior to the date of the document (the Securities Note). That is something different from the financial information regarding the issuer included annually in the Registration Document.	IDS III-B
III.B Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds	
Reason for the offer and, where applicable, the estimated net amount of the proceeds broken down into each principal intended use thereof. If the anticipated proceeds will not be sufficient to fund all the proposed purposes, the order of priority of such purpose should be given, as well as the amount and sources of other funds needed. If the company has no specific plans for the proceeds, it should discuss the principal reasons for the offering. The issuance of most Derivative Securities is not funding but market driven. For these instruments the proceeds are not used for general financial purposes of the issuer but only for the hedge of the respective derivative products.	IDS III-C.1
III.C Risk factors	
1. Prominent disclosure of risk factors that are specific to securities to be offered and/or admitted to trading in order to assess the market risk associated with these instruments. Provide also, if applicable, update disclosure of risk factors included in the Registration Document when useful to readers assessing the risk associated with the securities to be offered. To the extent that an item has been disclosed fully in other sections, only a summary should be included in this section and a cross reference to the section where a more detailed discussion is contained should be made.	IDS III-D
2. Risks involved in purchasing the derivative securities. This section should include:	Members'proposal
a) direct or indirect specific risk factors affecting the value and trading price of the derivative securities; and	
b) specific risk factors affecting the realization of the value of the derivative securities.	

- c) examples of the way the instrument works We would suggest that, in the case of a base prospectus, such examples will only be done once in the basis documentation and not in the Pricing Supplement. See our answer n. 257 in the Part One of the Consultation Paper. We would like to stress that the disclosure of examples is also in the interest of the issuer to avoid any compensation claim from an investor.
- d) examples based on the best and worst case scenario We would suggest to leave some discretion on the issuer to decide whether it is appropriate the description of examples based on best and worst case scenarios. In fact, there may be cases where it is extraordinary difficult (if even possible) to summarise the criteria for a best /worst case scenario with respect to the performance of a derivative security. At any rate, it is very difficult to describe that kind of examples because the number of factors and their interdependencies influencing the performance of a derivative cannot be ultimately defined. We would avoid any misleading example. See our answer n. 257 in the Part One of the Consultation Paper. We would like to stress that the disclosure of examples based on the best and worst case scenario is also in the interest of the issuer to avoid any compensation claim from an investor.
- e) Mentioning of hedging instruments and whether the investor can buy such instruments - We object to the requirement of mentioning hedging instruments as such requirement would result in an unreasonable risk for the issuer because no objective criteria for instruments qualifying as optimal hedge for a specific derivative security do exist. Furthermore, the optimal hedging instrument for a derivative security (if such a qualification would be possible at all) would depend essentially on the individual composition of the portfolio of an investor and his investment strategy. Any advise with respect to the optimal hedging instrument might turn out to be to the disadvantage of the investor. Furthermore, it is important to understand that issuers of Derivative Flow Products typically undertake continuos market making efforts over the life time of the products issued. Therefore the investor will always be able to close the position held by selling the respective securities. Consequently, there is no specific need to establish a hedge to neutralize an existing position.

IV.A Interests of Experts in the issue/offer

If any of the named experts or counselors was employed on a contingent basis, owns an amount of shares in the company or its subsidiaries which is material to that person, or has a material, direct or indirect economic interest in the company or that depends on the success of the offering, provide a brief description of the nature and terms of such contingency or interest.

IV.B Conflicts of interest in the issue/offer

Provide a description of any conflict of interest in the issue, detailing the entities involved and the nature of the interest.

V. OFFER AND ADMISSION TO TRADING DETAILS

V.A Description of the securities to be offered/admitted to trading

IDS VII-C

Members' proposal

1.	Describe the type and the class of the securities being offered and/or admitted to trading.	IDS IX-A.5
2.	Indicate the Law applicable to the securities.	Members'proposal
3.	Indicate whether the securities are registered or bearer ones.	FESCO/01-045, IX-A-8 a)
4.	Indicate the currency of the securities issue. In the case of a Basis Prospectus, this information can only be given in the final Pricing Supplement.	Members' Proposal
5.	Means of representation: where book-entry system is to be used, name and address of the entity in charge of keeping such records	Members' proposal
6.	Status of the securities being offered and/or admitted to trading: Covenants: Negative pledge, cross default, pari passu and others similar clauses, if any (event of default)	Members' proposal FESCO/01-045, IX-A- 8 a)
7.	A description of the rights attached to the securities and procedure for the exercise of any right attached to the securities. In the case of a Basis Prospectus, this information can only be given in the final Pricing Supplement.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A 2.2.2.
8.	If the rights evidenced by the securities being offered or admitted to trading are or may be materially limited or qualified by the rights evidenced by any other class of securities or by the provisions of any contract or other documents, include information regarding such limitation or qualification or its effect on the rights evidenced by the securities to be admitted to trading or offered. We think that this provision has no relevance for derivative securities.	IDS IX-A.6
9.	A statement of the resolutions, authorisations and approvals by virtue of which the securities have been or will be created and/or issued. However, in some jurisdictions such a special authorization for the issue of derivative products is not required. Consequently, such requirement should not be mandatory.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A 2.2.0 and Schedule B. 2.2.0.
10.	The issue date of the securities. In the case of a Basis Prospectus, this information can only be given in the final Pricing Supplement.	Members' proposal
11.	Arrangements for transfer of the securities and (where permitted) any restrictions on their free transferability.	DIR. 2001/34, Schedule A 2.2.4 and IDS IX-A.5 (b) and FESCO/01-045, II. 5. j.
12.	Other specific legislation regarding the issue/offer.	Members' proposal
13.	the exercise price or final reference price of the derivatives securities <i>In the case of a Basis Prospectus, this information can only be given in the final Pricing Supplement.</i>	2001/34/EC Sch B 2.1. – 2.2.
14.	the price at maturity What is meant here? Normally, derivative products will have a cash settlement amount which will be calculated on the calculation date in the manner mentioned in the terms and conditions. That will be the amount payable at maturity.	

offer	erms and conditions of the offer and action required to apply for the	
1.	Description of the application process, details of where application forms are available. A predetermined application procedure is not applicable in all cases. Purchases will be effected through banks or through the stock exchange. Therefore, the description of an application process should not be mandatory.	FESCO/01-045, II.5. i) and ii)
2.	Details of the minimum and/or maximum amount of application, if any (whether in number of securities or aggregate amount to invest).	FESCO/01-045, II.5. iv)
3.	Process for notification to applicants of the amount allotted and indication whether dealing may begin before notification is made. <i>Should not be made mandatory</i>	FESCO/01-045, II.5. vi)
4.	Possibility of multiple and/or joint applications, and description of the penalties for infringements. <i>Does not apply in the case of derivative securities. In most cases, there is no subscription period and no allotment which is the common practice in share issues/IPOs.</i>	FESCO/01-045, II.5. vii)
5.	Indication of the period during which an application may be withdrawn, provided that investors are allowed to withdraw their subscription.	Members' proposal
6.	Dealing conditions to which the offering is subject, if any.	FESCO/01-045, II.5. i)
7)	A section setting out the definitions applicable to the terms and conditions	Members' proposal
8)	all applicable exercise rights	
9)	a description of the exercise procedures and any limitations in relation to the same	Members' proposal
10)	 a description of the settlement procedure of the derivative securities detailing the following: for cash settlement, how holders are to receive or make payments In the case of cash settled derivative securities, there is no obligation of the holder to make any further payment in addition to the price paid for the derivative product. However, there may be in the future new derivative securities that require the description of provisions regarding payments. for physical settlement (=cashless exercise) how holders are to receive the underlying and make payment to the issuer, if any. 	Members' proposal
11)	 a description of how any return on derivative securities takes place, the payment date, and the way it is calculated for cash settlement: disclosure setting out how the cash settlement amount is calculated for physical settlement: disclosure setting out how the amount of the underlying to be delivered is determined in the case of a choice between cash and physical settlement: disclosure as set out above 	Members' proposal
12)	information required in respect of the underlying, a statement setting out the type of the underlying and details of where information on the underlying can be obtained: - See our answer n. 260 in the Part One of the Consultation Paper.	2001/34/EC Schedule B 2.4.1. FESCO 01-045 Schedule B IV, p.2

- where the underlying is a security • the ISIN (International Security Identification Number) or other such security identification code - where the underlying is an index • the name of the index - where the underlying is an interest rate • a description of the interest rate • a description of the interest rate • others where the underlying does not fall within the categories specified above the securities note must contain equivalent information. - where the underlying is a basket of underlyings • Disclosure of the relevant weightings of each underlying in the basket We appreciate the decision of CESR with respect to the required information on the underlying. 13) a description of any market disruption or settlement disruption events 14) adjustment rules with relation to events concerning the underlying 15) expiration or maturity date of the derivative securities 16) exercise date or final reference date 17) nomination of a calculation agent V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, or employces or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the eo-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of t		
information on the underlying. 13) a description of any market disruption or settlement disruption events 14) adjustment rules with relation to events concerning the underlying 15) expiration or maturity date of the derivative securities 16) exercise date or final reference date 17) nomination of a calculation agent V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. i)	 the name of the issuer of the security the ISIN (International Security Identification Number) or other such security identification code where the underlying is an index the name of the index where the underlying is an interest rate a description of the interest rate others where the underlying does not fall within the categories specified above the securities note must contain equivalent information. where the underlying is a basket of underlyings Disclosure of the relevant weightings of each underlying in the 	
14) adjustment rules with relation to events concerning the underlying 15) expiration or maturity date of the derivative securities 16) exercise date or final reference date 17) nomination of a calculation agent V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. i) ii)		
15) expiration or maturity date of the derivative securities 16) exercise date or final reference date 17) nomination of a calculation agent V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. ii)	13) a description of any market disruption or settlement disruption events	
16) exercise date or final reference date 17) nomination of a calculation agent V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c.) ii)	14) adjustment rules with relation to events concerning the underlying	
V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. i) C. i)	15) expiration or maturity date of the derivative securities	
V.C Plan of distribution 1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. jii)	16) exercise date or final reference date	
1. The various categories of potential investors to which the securities are offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a <i>tranche</i> has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such <i>tranche</i> . 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. iii)	17) nomination of a calculation agent	
offered. If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a tranche has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such tranche. 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. jii)	V.C Plan of distribution	
If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a <i>tranche</i> has been or is being reserved for certain of these, indicate any such <i>tranche</i> . 2. If securities are reserved for allocation to any group of targeted investors, including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. ii)		FESCO/01-045, II.5. b
including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide details of these and any other preferential arrangements. 3. To the extent known to the company, indicate whether major shareholders, directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. ii)	If the offering is being made simultaneously in the markets of two or more countries and if a <i>tranche</i> has been or is being reserved for certain of these,	IDS IX-B.3
directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering. V.D Placing 1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c.) ii)	including, for example, offerings to existing shareholders, directors, or employees or past employees of the company or its subsidiaries, provide	IDS IX-B.4
1. Details of the co-ordinator(s) of the global offering and of single parts of the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c. ii)	directors or members of the company's management, supervisory or administrative bodies intended to subscribe in the offering, or whether any person intends to subscribe for more than five per cent of the offering.	IDS IX-B.2
the offering. 2. Details of the placers in the various countries where the offer takes place. FESCO/01-045, II.5. c.) ii)		
c.) ii)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Name and address of any paying or depository agents in each country. Members' proposal		c.) ii)
	3. Name and address of any paying or depository agents in each country.	Members'proposal

V.E Pricing	
 Indicate the expected price at which the securities will be offered or the method of determining the price, and the amount of any expenses specifically charged to the subscriber or purchaser. 	
2. Process for the disclosure of the offering price.	FESCO/01-045, II.5.d.iv)
V.F Admission to trading and Dealing arrangements	
1. An indication as to whether the securities offered will be the object of an application for admission to trading, with a view to their distribution in a regulated market or other markets. This circumstance must be mentioned, without creating the impression that the admission to trading necessarily will be approved. Indication as to whether the issuer has already filed the application to trading, and, otherwise, indication of the dates when the issuer has the intention to file this application, and, if known, the earliest dates on which the securities will be admitted to trading should be given. An indication of the markets where admission to trading is or will be sought, and if securities of the same class are already traded on one or more regulated markets, indication of the most relevant.	
2. If simultaneously or almost simultaneously with the creation of the securities for which admission to a regulated market is being sought securities of the same class are subscribed for or placed privately or if securities of other classes are created for public or private placing, details are to be given of the nature of such operations and of the number and characteristics of the securities to which they relate. This provision seems to relate to share issues only and has no practical relevance for derivative products.	
3. Entities which may act as intermediaries in secondary trading, providing liquidity through bid and offer rates,. if any. A description of the terms of their commitment will be included.	
V.G Markets	
Disclose all stock exchanges and other markets on which, to the knowledge of the issuer, the securities to be offered or admitted to trading are traded.	IDS IX-C (review by members)
 V.H Selling securities holders Name and address of the person or entity offering to sell the securities, the nature of any position office or other material relationship that the selling persons has had within the past three yrs. with the company or any of its predecessors or affiliates This provision seems to relate to share issues only and has no practical relevance for derivative products. 	IDS IX-D.1
2. The number and class of securities being offered by each of the selling security holders. <i>This provision seems to relate to share issues only and has no practical relevance for derivative products.</i>	
V. I Expense of the Issue/Offer	
1. The total amount of the discounts or commissions agreed upon by the underwriters or other placement or selling agents and the issuer or offeror shall be disclosed, as well as the percentage such commissions represent of	

the total amount of the offering and the amount of discounts or commissions per security. Not applicable for Derivative Flow Products, see also comments below. However, a general statement that says whether the issuer applies any commission could be included for the benefit of the investor. 2. A reasonably itemized statement of the major categories of expenses	IDS IX-F.2
incurred in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities to be admitted to trading or offered and by whom the expenses are payable, if other than the issuer. If any of the securities are to be offered for the account of a selling securities holders, indicate the portion of such expense to be borne by such security holder. The information may be given subject to future contingencies: If the amounts of any items are not known, estimates (identifies as such) shall be given. We object to this provision. For the investor in debt securities it is of importance to know that the total amount of funds raised was reduced by expenses. That is NOT the case for the investor in derivative products.	
VI ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
VI.A Articles of Association	
Indicate the register and the entry number therein, if applicable, and describe the company's objects and purposes and where they can be found in the memorandum and articles. Should be included in the Registration Document and must not be repeated in the Securities Note	IDS X-B.1
VI.B Exchange controls	
Describe any governmental laws, decrees, regulations or other legislation of the home country of the company which may affect: - the import or export of capital, including the availability of cash and cash equivalents for use by the company's group; - remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of the company's securities. As far as dividend payments are concerned this provision seems to relate to share issues only and has no practical relevance for derivative products.	IDS X-D
VI.C Taxation	
Provide information regarding taxes to which securities holders may be subject. Information should be included as to whether the issuer assumes responsibility for the withholding of tax at the source and regarding applicable provisions of any reciprocal tax treaties between the home and host countries, or a statement, if applicable, that there are no such treaties This information will depend on the market where the derivative products are offered. For a basis prospectus, this information should only be given in the final Pricing Supplement.	IDS.X-E
VI.D Statement by Experts	
Where a statement or report attributed to a person as an expert is included in the document, provide such persons' name, address and qualifications and a statement to the effect that such statement or report is included, in the form and context in which it is included, with the consent of that person, who has authorized the contents of that part of the document.	IDS X-G

VI.E D	ocuments on display	
referred applica	Provide an indication of where the documents concerning the issuer which are referred to in the document may be inspected, by physical or electronic means. <i>Not applicable to Derivative Securities as such documents do not have any impact on the ability of the issuer to fulfill its obligations under these securities.</i>	
VI.F R	egistration Document	
1.	Indication of where the Registration Document of the issuer is available for consultation.	Members' proposal
2.	The securities note shall provide information that would normally be provided in the registration document if there has been a material change or recent development since the registration document was published.	Draft Directive